State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-037
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly refused to appoint her new counsel, and improperly refused to reduce her co-defendant's bond in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 4, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie Margaret H. Downie Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 4, 2018.

0

On imyself; were arrested. Amyself were charged with that of means and On charged with burglary. a personal letter, that he would consider new representation for me bucus I was concerned about my representation, my rights and my options for a resolution to the charges of theft of means. I was denied. I also informed my assigned attorney that I would like in new representative. I wrote a second letter with the , and the judge again on Not got my mailed letter. Well I was denied. another representative. Well, all that is fine but also asked for a bond reduction E DE GO and was denied the judge, thumbing through papers, he stated his charges weren't really that bad, and that? wasn't that much for his bond and bond would stay at . That comment of wasn't all thatmuch was offensive to me. « L'are homeless,

Job Less, and moneyless & When he said wasn't really that much, he might as well said his bond was became both them amounts are more than I got. another thing I asked my attorney was, why are ETI still being persued for prosecution when I gave just cause and the just cause was proved by well the said he didn't want my witness any where near the courts, that I was lucky the prosecutor did not know about my witness & her statement Now I'm asking the judicial system for fairness. at our last court, on , we were informed that trial would sokart . also, my attny.
to me "your going down" when we left the court room, Now, I am occared, and still conflised, I always thought it was innocent until proven quilty, not I have to prove my innocense - which I have given just cause. Well, also, Mr. told me - well you should Know, you don't have to testify

The lawyers, and his have made me seel they are pressuring me into saying I committed a crime. They don't want me to take my case to trial, they think I should talk probotion and that if I coose at tria I will be facing prison time * probation avails

I gave just cause, it was proven and they otill continue the persuit of justice were justice is not due, When I first spoke with the option of trial was not even presented to me. So I'm asking for the charges against & myself, be dismissed. I gave reasonable doubt a for this why should I still be prosecuted, Signed So my complaint is That broke RULE 3.1 comment 3 and 4