State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-040
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem superior court judge improperly asserted jurisdiction over him and falsified the record in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 4, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie Margaret H. Downie Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 4, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-040

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
what you believe the jud times, and places that v	orm or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words the did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, all help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached ginals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and int for your records.
ON	I Appended be fore pro-Tem
	, And interested him thing! I wished
to represent	myself in the action agust me (
	The attorney present also informed
	not There was Not any Agreements, so that
	EPRESENT ME IN the Mchow.
	ineaclify intonned
+4	at I Challenge the Subject Matter Surisdiction
of the count	(Twice) and requested that the prosecutor
	liction ONER ME.
	RETUSED to Allow Such such
Durisdictions	I challenges. Instead, he imencitly ordered
me into is	WE # 11, FOR Full MENTAL EXAMINATIONS. THE
Court did	of have any motions, NOW EVIDENCE before
	Form A basis FOR the Full AULEN ZULE# 11 EX-
,	This Action by Alkewed A
	legal detense of my self that gave an unhain
Advantage to	the state of ARZONA OVER ME, AND IT
Also CALISE	I me not to have the benith of Rule "5
Applied to m	legal detense at the critial stage of prehominary
hearing.	lis actions were done knowingly and with the
	utions to cause me haven.
_	
	His Court order also Forced and afformey on to

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
times, and places that will help the com	aper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words titutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, unission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached ant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and ords.
ME while knowing it we	ent against my Express wishes to represent
myself at this critical s	trace of the action. The appointed betweeny didn't
Challerage ANY VIOLATIONS	of my rights Nox did he conter with me
ON ANY ISSUES, RATHER, I	he pllowed the violations to RUN their course.
	has also Falsehed the record in this
Action by chaining AND	d Allowing the state to claim
that the date of my of	premance was not preliminary hearing
on , but A	
I did Not A	PREMA CN . AND THERE
was no determination	of peobloble course until
At APROX By WA	y of GRAND Juny inditement. I challenged
Jurisdiction. The court	Count did not have Surrisdiction to CAUSE
my mental Experimention / cl	ely of detences, with atten Either
GRANK SURY INCLIFEMENT	, OR FINDING OF BROBABLE CAUSE AT PRELIMINARY
hearing. The court a	isder did Not have sky
Sursdiction over the issu	& (Subject mother survisdiction) And Knowingly
Acted with want of sures	schetion known to the Judge; then also
	ose violations by presenting dates
in which the count k	NEW WERE FAISE, (SEVERAL OTHER
Judges RELisEd to	correct the violations when it was
presented to them.	This problem should be investigated.
,	noted deliberately, to prevent
ME the benitit of centain	right Linder the Constitution's and Rules of Court.
I believe I smo this sudge	per represent a client, on
FAVORABLE RULEING FROM hi	+ ROOM, ACTING AS AN Attended 11740 RECIEVED A
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	