

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-051

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him and intentionally disregarded the law in his criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 11, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie

Margaret H. Downie  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 11, 2018.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2018-051

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

Rule 8.1 Rules of The Supreme Court - Judicial Ethics  
Rule 2.2 1, 2, 3, MY Judge showed a pattern of legal error an intentional disregard of the law - Not recusing himself from a ruling on a mistrial when the mistrial was because of him knowingly letting the jury know I was incarcerated in jail while in after my lawyer ask for a mistrial because of the tainted jury! Discussing my priors before the trial even shows Bias - letting weapon in day before trial with out disclosure - proven impeachment of witnesses and perjury denied by Judge out of hate of me. Lawyer declares mistrial again based on Judge Day 2 Page 84 line 23 to 25 Judge is doing the State prosecution on me - Judge must past motion to another Judge if it's on the Judge presiding on the trial and is the one Rule 2.3 Bias; Prejudice and Harassment is based on (A) (B) (C) (D) The judge manifest bias and predice in the whole trial proceeding that impaired the fairness to happen and it brought the whole trial into a disrepute. The whole time the Judge made me guilty before I could even stand a real chanch of Justice by a jury that only Judge me because of all the Judges tainted bias during my trial!

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)