State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-060
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner ruled without the facts of a case in a dependency matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Peter J. Eckerstrom did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: April 19, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie
Margaret H. Downie
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 19, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-060

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

To whom it may concern, All the hearing should have been about was Residential Treatment child Revnihoa hon Treatment, for psych treatment, mental health needs along with medication that was prescribed. The made an order that deprived austody of child. . This was not a default Learing or a Temporary ruled without the matter proceeding a actual facts of the Case: 1) Not a dependence | Matter 2) abuse & allegation unsubstantiated. SThis was a behaviolal placement due to behaviolal halth issues, Energence stay of order - To Allow contact è visitations until Matter is recalled.