

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-061

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner improperly terminated visitation privileges to a relative in a dependency matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Peter J. Eckerstrom did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: April 19, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie

Margaret H. Downie  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 19, 2018.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2018-061

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

TO Whom it may Concern;  
IT WAS DeTERmined on \_\_\_\_\_ in the meeting  
with \_\_\_\_\_ That there WAS NO Truth To the  
Allegations. \_\_\_\_\_ made About his  
All STAFF & PARTIE's in meeting had NO  
objection on the \_\_\_\_\_ bringing \_\_\_\_\_ To Their  
office. For \_\_\_\_\_ Till  
\_\_\_\_\_ WAS  
Allowed by All PARTIE's, " \_\_\_\_\_  
GAURDianad item and the

TO VISIT  
Allowed To be Involved in All MATTERS, (C.F.T meetings,  
schooling, Counseling, Visitation, Home PASS, Plans And  
goals on Reunification, WAS CONTACTed And COMMUnICATED  
with by All PARTIE's Involved. The booklet SAYS, AT The  
PPH, "The COURT Will MAKE orders About The Child's  
Placement, Visitation, And services To be provided".  
The COURT Already MADE The order in the PPH  
hearing About Visitation. The  
has been Involved From day one Till  
when \_\_\_\_\_ ordered no Visitation For  
The All PARTIE's EXcep, \_\_\_\_\_ CEASEd  
All And ANY Involvement, Communication with \_\_\_\_\_ The  
APPROPRIATE providers TO ASSIST \_\_\_\_\_ is CREDiting The  
FAMILY is due From