

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-073

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings in a criminal matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Peter J. Eckerstrom did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: April 19, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie

Margaret H. Downie
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 19, 2018.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-073

COMP

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

AFTER ACCEPTING A PLEA-BARGAIN WHICH
MEANT PLEADING GUILTY THIS JUDGE SET A
HEARING FOR BAIL IN HE
ALLOWED WITNESS & VICTIM TO GIVE FALSE
EVIDENCE WITHOUT BEING CHALLENGED. THEY
CLAIMED THAT IN THE ALTERCATION I USED A
BUTCHER KNIFE (A DEADLY WEAPON) THIS

JUDGE CHANGED AGG-ASSAULT CAT 3 TO
AGG-ASSAULT DEADLY WEAPON CAT 3. BAIL ON
MY OWN RECOGNISANCE, 10 MILE RADIUS, ANKLE
BRACELET. I COMPLIED. SENTENCING SET FOR
GOOD REPORT FROM PTS. ON SENTENCING
EXTENDED TO 1 BECAUSE OF PAPER WORK
BETWEEN PROSECUTION & PUBLIC DEFENDERS
OFFICE. HE WOULD NOT LET ME CONTINUE BAIL.
WHY? BECAUSE HE SAID SO. SENTENCING PRO-
BATION WITH STRICT LIMITATIONS TO BEGIN RESTRICTION
#18 1 YEAR JAIL WITH 124 CREDIT TO START ALSO
KEPT ME IN JAIL IGNORING THAT PROBATION ALSO
WAS DATE MAILING ADDRESS.

STATE OF ARIZONA

2018-073

THE CORRECT EVIDENCE CAN BE PROVIDED
BY OF THE

REPORT #

NON DANGEROUS.

THAT IT WAS AN ALTERCATION CAN BE PROVIDED
BY 911 CALL EVIDENCE FROM
ON

IS WHEN POLICE ASKED WHERE
() SHE RESPONDED
"WE HAVE HER PIN DOWN." THE POLICE
FOUND ME PINNED DOWN WITH NO WEAPON
IN HAND AND YELLED
"TO GET OFF OF HER!"

OF

SENT GOOD REPORT

IN CARCERATION TO PROTECTIVE
CUSTODY HOURLY RATES APPLY BAIL STRICT HOUSE ARREST
& ANKLE BRACELET HOURLY RATE. TO PRESENT
FLAT RATE SHOULD APPLY TOWARD SENTENCING.
APPROPRIATE COPIES UNABLE TO OBTAIN THRU