State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-092
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a small claims hearing officer improperly dismissed his claim.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the hearing officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the hearing officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the hearing officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: May 23, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 23, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-092

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the judge did that constitutionames, dates, times, and places that will help the com-	e same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own utes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the amission understand your concerns. Additional pages man at court documents. Please complete one side of the pages.

	ion on Judicial Conduc ton Street, Suite 229
1501 W. Washing	ton Street, Suite 229

FOR OFFICE	USE UNLY	
		1

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	me:						Judge's Name:						
Instru	ctions:	Use thi	s form	or pla	in pape	r of th	e same	size t	o file a	complaint.	Describe	in you	ır own
words	what v	ou believ	e the	iudge d	lid that	constit	utes iu	idicial i	miscond	uct. Be spec	rific and 1	list all	of the

names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, AZ 85007 Re: Dear Sir/Madam: I purchased a home in from the the purchased the home from the original owners who had built the home in Except for the pool the home was in a very sad state of repair. It took me to bring it back and from the dead. Upon move in I found several items that needed repair. These repairs were completed over a period of several months at a cost of I gave the sellers a pass on this one since it was a 22 year old home and I had hired a home inspector that apparently did not do a very good job. Fast forward to late I am eating breakfast in the kitchen when something comes sailing by the window. When I went outside to investigate it turned out to be a pieces of Masonite like material about 16"w x 4' long. I looked up to see where it had come from and I saw a gaping hole 6" in diameter. There was dried up calking and plywood residue on the Masonite and matching marks where it had adhered to the patio roof sheeting. I contacted by letter on the I received a letter back in which he denied knowing anything about it. I had already had a representation of honesty upon first moving in and finding no hot water in the master shower, a stovetop burner that was in-op and seven (7) dual pane windows that had to be replaced because they had lost their thermal properties when the inert gas had escaped but it still came as a surprise to him that I would accuse him of something like this. had originally tried to place the blame on the original owners they had purchased the property from. When I pointed out that I doubted that the calk/glue would have maintained the integrity of it's holding properties for the that they and I had lived in the house thru all the heat/cold cycles in the Arizona weather, he changed his story. His new tact was that I had signed off on the roof portion of the inspection report, which I had. I deduced from this that since he was no longer stating that it was the original owners that had committed the cover up of the damaged roof sheeting that he was admitting to doing it himself or hiring it done. How could I have signed off on this dry rot damage when it was not in the inspection report? It was not in the inspection report for good reason because it had been covered over and was not visible. I subsequently found two (2) additional locations of dry rot that had also been covered over. I filed a . Today in

This was a very simple case if one uses a little logic and common sense.

I appeared in courtroom

1. Damage to the roof sheeting had been deliberately covered over to make the house saleable without going to the expense of repairing it

before presiding

- 2. Only two parties had a motive for doing the cover up, the original owners that had lived in the house for 12 years and the second owner that lived there for 10 years and who had done little to no maintenance on the property
- 3. One has to believe that the calk/glue could retain the integrity of it's holding properties for 12 years
- 4. That this extensive amount of dry rot could occur in a new house in only 12 years

Let me say up front that the purpose of this letter is not to get the Judge's verdict over Turn ned, I can live with the verdict. The purpose of this letter is strictly educational. I believe that the Judge made an error in her judgment of this case. Possibly something can be learned by a second party reviewing the evidence in this matter. Besides the enclosed pictures of the dry rot damage, I also brought the material used in the fraudulent cover-up to court. I informed the Judge that the material was in the lobby if she wanted to see it. She said she did not need to see it and I believe this was a mistake. Maybe she was under a time constraint. I think that with a review by a second party something might be learned that would be helpful in the way some small claims cases are handled.

This was an egregious example of fraudulent behavior. And I have seen a lot in the 45 years I have been in the real estate business. Because of the fraudulent cover up of a serious matter, I purchased a piece of property that I would not have purchased otherwise if I had known the true condition of the roof. The seller withheld serious information that was needed by the purchaser to arrive at the true value of the property.

The address of the property in question is

this is my second home. I expect to be here for approximately 4 weeks from the date of this complaint. I do not receive mail while I am in Arizona. All my mail goes to my so I will not have access to it until I return to

However, while I am in town I can be reached by both telephone and email. You will find both below. I will make myself and my physical evidence available to anyone while I am here.

Respectfully yours,

Enclosed: Disposition of case

Small Claims Notice of Hearing Small Claims Complaint & Summons

Summary Report on Condition of roof (5 pages) Pictures of dry rotted roof sheeting (9 pages)

Copies of correspondence (3 letters)

Deed history