State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-095
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge presided over a trial where her son was wrongfully convicted.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: May 23, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 23, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-095

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and	
Triat was 189 Lost went to trial	1.4
that he thought he could win. Constitutional-Ri	ghts
were violated by not having a Jury of his peers.	
Asked and I about	
the . She shrubbed her should	des
and didn't answer. Wrong-Idenity wasn't pre	SeNtal
NO DNAS OR Drints that Judge ordered.	
Venue was changed after-wards.	
and the Victims were allowed to change	
their statements twice. Police gave	
the discoverys Why? Idenified the vehicles	ON
the video they were Not austioned	
Spoke to	
cla defend Attorney about these De	ople
was in-effective of	for
new-counsel never granted. Nothing do	
to prove inhocence.	. •
admitted to me that many never Enter	red
	What
WERE they offered? Prosecutor presented	11 1000
CLOTHING AN SDOKE OF GANG ISSUES CLOTHIN	na
didn't belong to . No ONE to be in	\mathcal{A}
garg. Jury was pursued the wrong.	~
tape wasn't my.	
was bee Law 2 OF 2 in Cole	4.7.

Helicopter driver alone should NO how many came out the Victims apartment. Judge. Judge admitted this was ONE messy-trial, Helicopter ONLy chased Vehicle but yet their were several Leaving. through a Lot of wrong and is Not Builty. Now in having issues with others that KNOWS he is facina a crime he didn't commit in IN the trial he had NO counsel that were Not present they aid wrong presenting him to the Jury as a Gard member, there were NO. - Though help him. An OFFicer whipped on for fleeing that's wrong. ONE Derson's Statement stayed the same 30F 3

Im I NO . I'vE had time to find all that you ALL didn't find. Sent Judge a certified Letter IN. which was returned to me was opened in ourt. Victims and was Never in the home with My Vehicle was a which I were Not aware of it having 3 or 4 paires of Keys to it. Again u all failed evidence Left in the frontseat a hat belonging to He was in the home with the Victims, an failed to tell the truth. Control any thing was Not with or the victims The whole trial was a Lie This isNI Justice

4 OF 4