## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-100
ludge:	
Complainant:	

## **ORDER**

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace failed to understand the law, failed to understand the applicable procedural rules and had a poor temperament.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission approved sending the judge an advisory letter regarding Rules 1.2 (Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary), 2.2 (Impartiality and Fairness) and 2.6 (Ensuring the Right to Be Heard). The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Commission members George H. Foster, Jr. and Anna Mary Glaab did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: June 12, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez
Hon. Louis Frank Dominguez
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 12, 2018.

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

the Judge suggest that

Name:	Judge's Na	ame:		
My concerns with Judge his understanding of the rules for justice court.	are based on three areas Justice Court, and his jud			
Case Number	filed in			
Hearing on				
Background:				
I filed a action under basis. My landlady, in response to association to forward our complete Tenancy and threatened the other the requirement to inform tenants. Arizona tenants from out-of-state modifying service requirements for the state of the stat	aints against the on-site mer tenants with the same. It is with a local agent or a variandlords who try to hide	veral of the tenants manager's bizarre be The out-of-state lan alid address as stip from service by ke	at my location vehavior gave a addord was not in ulated in the ac	were forming an Notice to Terminate n compliance with ct. The Act protects
Complaint.				
All of the items here are from the	hearing on	in Judge	courtroom:	
suggested that I hire a 'compliance by my landlord in ide the service requirements. The le	g the Retaliatory Action un In my fi ations under The Act had ce. I described how she di ess server in to tresident at that address would not address the se to track her dow ntifying a local agent, or a	iling, I included an a not been given to a idn't o serve her at the o that my landlord wa ervice alternatives s on. It is my understa a valid current addressions while the Judg	e Act which I wa affidavit of non- any of us tenant only address I has never there. specified in the anding that the sess out of state ge ignored them	as charging. The compliance on the ts, so I had no way I ad for her, but that statute, but instead affidavit of nonfor service modifies n.
l would go to the cleri		cess and the Judge e told me ailable for clarificat		I asked if, to file I then accepted the and the Judge ave to pay another
Temperament				Having

or dropping my attempt to optain justice was improper, in my opinion.