

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-105

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge is biased against him, has violated his speedy trial rights, and has provided him with altered transcripts.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: June 20, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all  
appropriate persons on June 20, 2018.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY****2018-105****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

HE HAS VIOLATED MY RULE 8 RIGHTS. HE ALLOWED THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO PROVIDE MY KNAPP COUNSEL ALTERED TRANSCRIPTS OF MY PREVIOUS TRIALS. ON 11/1 AT MY HEARING I AGAIN ASKED JUDGE [REDACTED] TO PROVIDE THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPTS OF BOTH OF MY PREVIOUS TRIALS, NOT ALTERED COPIES. HE STATED THAT I WAS ONLY GOING TO RECEIVE COPIES. JUDGE [REDACTED] IS BIASED AGAINST ME AND ALL OF HIS ACTIONS ARE IN FAVOR OF THE STATE. I NEVER WAIVED MY SPEEDY TRIAL RIGHTS, YET JUDGE [REDACTED] RULED THAT HE WAIVED MY RIGHTS BECAUSE I KEPT ASKING FOR MY PREVIOUS TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS. HE HAS NO LEGAL AUTHORITY TO WAIVE MY RIGHT TO A SPEEDY TRIAL AS HE DID ON 11/1. I ALSO REQUESTED JUDGE [REDACTED] TO PROVIDE ME WITH THE AUDIO/VIDEO OF MY FIRST TRIAL FOR THE DAYS OF [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] SO THAT WE COULD COMPARE THESE TO THE ALTERED TRANSCRIPTS AND PROVE COERISON ON THE PARTS OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY AND JUDGE. I WAS TOLD THE AUDIO AND VIDEO WERE CONVENIENTLY NOT WORKING, SO THEY CAN NOT PROVIDE THEM TO ME. I AM FIGHTING FOR MY FREEDOM BECAUSE I DID NOT COMMIT THE ALLEGED CRIME, AND JUDGE [REDACTED] IS NOT BEING AN IMPARTIAL PARTY IN THIS CASE WHICH IS ILLEGAL. JUDGE [REDACTED] ALSO HAS TOLD ME HE WAS NOT GOING TO ARGUE WITH ME AND REFUSES TO ALLOW ME TO PUT MY COMPLAINTS AND ISSUES I BELIEVE ARE RELEVANT TO MY CASE ON THE RECORD FOR APPEAL PURPOSES. I WILL BE GOING TO MY TRIAL ON THIS CASE HAVING BEEN PREJUDICED AGAINST BY JUDGE [REDACTED].