

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-136

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge engaged in unconstitutional practices.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: July 5, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all
appropriate persons on July 5, 2018.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-136

Note

(I HAVE EXHAUSTIVELY PURSUED GRIEVANCE PROCESS IN WHICH I AM REFUSED)

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

- * UNCONSTITUTIONAL PRACTICES BEING ALLOWED WITH THE FOSTERING OF CRIMINAL LIABILITIES, CIVIL CRIMES IGNORED, WHILE THOSE ACTS OR OMISSIONS TRANSITIONING INTO CRIMINAL ACTS, OMISSIONS OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE, DEPRIVATIONS AGAINST MOST CRITICAL STAGE TO TIMELY MEANINGFUL ACCESS TO COURTS AND RIGHT TO COUNSEL AT EVERY CRITICAL STAGE, WHERE EVIDENCE EXCULPATORY IN NATURE AND ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF OFFENSE WITHHELD FROM OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS CONCEALED AND INTENTIONALLY NOT MADE AVAILABLE OR ALLOWED ON RECORD....
- * INVOLVING SERIOUS GRIEVIOUS BODILY HARM AND INJURIES
- * IN WHICH NEGATIVELY IMPACTS THE OUTCOME OF PROCEEDINGS SUCH NEGLIGENCE UNLAWFUL AND LEADS TO INJUSTICE AND SIGNIFICANT DAMAGES IN MY CASES.. EXTREMELY ONE SIDED AND PREJUDICIAL EFFECTS, BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTIES .. DEFECTS, MISTAKES DURING DISCOVERY AND THE DISCLOSURE .. FAILURE TO ALLOW AND PRESERVE THOSE ELEMENTS ON RECORD .. ATTORNEY LEGAL MALPRACTICES AND MISCONDUCTS BY MISHANDLING CASES, WRONGDOINGS.
- * PLEASE REVIEW ATTACHED EVIDENTIARY DOCUMENTS
Sincerely Truly yours (8 pages attached)

* SIGNED,

LA Judiciary Complaint

Motion to address, courts

Abuse of discretion, on motions
to remand, address issues. Judge

Attorney

Petitioner continuously deprived timely meaningful access to courts

Respectfully this layman petitioner forced while under duress to make uninformed decision undermining the lawful process, that was set for evidentiary hearing on by court order regarding own motion to remand and dismissal of while perjury and the obstruction of Justice in committed by petitioners own legal advocate from public defenders office, " (State Bar complaint filed)

(Also) committing A.R.S. § 13-201 violations the requirements for criminal liability, omissions, Committing A.R.S. § 13-2809 Violations with his intent to conceal, withhold and make exculpatory evidence unavailable for the official proceedings, extremely essential elements withheld from offense, damaging, creating extremely one sided self serving prejudicial effects, in order to wrongfully charge petitioner, while involving grievous bodily harm,

EVIDENCE SUPPORTS THESE CLAIMS

(PLEASE NOTE) A.R.S. § 13-2809 TAMPERING WITH PHYSICAL EVIDENCE, IS A CLASS 6 FELONY

(PLEASE NOTE) PERJURY A.R.S. § 13-2702, IS A CLASS 4 FELONY COMMITTED TO AVOID COURSES OF ACTION

injuries verified by photos and crimes committed against
petitioner, as clearly argued in " " " " motion
to remand, dismiss,

✱ As definition, A.R.S. §13-1204 aggravated assault with dangerous instrument subsection A paragraphs 1 and 2 a class 3 felony, and

✱ Involves Arizona constitution Article 2 section §2.1 Victims bill of rights violations to be treated with fairness, free from abuse throughout the criminal process, to be informed, to be heard, receive prompt timely dispositions, as rules of evidence apply

✱ Petitioner refused any access to medical

✱ Petitioner deprived right to counsel at every critical stages, as forced to file own motions, layman motion to remand in

✱ As client betrayed and retaliated against these essential elements among others show good reasonable support of charges against

and the creating of courts abuse of discretion,

by his undermining the evidence significance, legal advocates failure, negligence by intentionally leaving the court, deputy attorney
uninformed, so to further investigation into such (forbidden conduct)

Crimes being concealed

✱ State Vs. Vassell, 238 ARIZ. 281, 8, 359

p. 3d 1025, 1027-28 (App. 2015) A court abuses its discretion, if it...

Commits an error of law in exercising that discretion, clearly shown herein, this petitioner has the rights to ensure all the essential elements of offense are given timely meaningful access to the courts and not unlawfully being delayed, concealed or withheld from the offense, (As changed) in which would change the outcome of the proceeding, warranting the charge BE dismissed with prejudice, further cause for action, (Deputy Attorney has some duty to ensure)

— In conclusion —

Petitioner forced to submit formal state bar of Arizona charges and pursuant to (both) civil and criminal damages against omissions negligent misrepresentations, (Damages created)

* Therefore, reasonable termination of all future representation change of counsel extreme conflicts of interest arise with (No immunities for crimes committed exist)

* Requesting oral argument to create a record regarding exculpatory evidence the perjury committed, contempt of court, rules, that has lessened the dignity and authority of the court (to avoid cause of action) make evidence unavailable for the official proceedings, obstruction of Justice (claimed) * DEMAND PROSECUTION'S DENIED BY STATUTES PROVIDED HEREIN AGAINST CHARLIS DAUGHTY

Signed

* Dated

1.

Cause number: _____
(To be assigned by agent)

Complaint, (tort actions) "Notice"
involving (Both) civil and criminal
claims and charges against lawyer
[Legal advocates negligence]
* Requesting injunction for deprivations
at the evidentiary hearing on

* Petitioner continuously deprived timely return responses from clerk of courts

I layman petitioner pursuant to
* A.R.S. § 13-201, criminal liability and the United States
Constitution 14th, 6th amendment and the Arizona
Constitution article 2 section § 5 Petitioning,
§ 4. Deprivation of Due Process of Law, against
§ 11. administration of Justice, against
§ 24. Right to counsel at every critical stage.
§ 30. Deprivations of preliminary hearing set.
among deprivations. (Deemed by statutes)

* A.R.S. § 13-2809, not making evidence available

— Brief Introduction of fact —

My legal advocate _____, clearly unable to
assist client, _____, with exculpatory
defense, on _____, at evidentiary hearing set for
dismissal, with prejudice, specific case involved while
misleading client with deception,

* Petitioner deprived access to make legal copies or appropriate forms

[My legal advocate _____ has caused
Damages with A.R.S. § 13-201 violations, his...]

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**