State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposi	tion of	Comp	laint	18 - 142

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge did not afford him the opportunity to be heard, did not consider his evidence, and made improper rulings in a domestic relations matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: July 31, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 31, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

l

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-142

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the ju names, dates, times, and places	r plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own dge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be to originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper plaint for your records.
	Order of Protection was issued and that the issuing court " ssed the false claims, the threat via text from the Petitioner prior to her tion wherein she states, " '. All of this included in my declaration as well but not " ".
Our is	named on the Order of Protection. He appeared in court as my witness,
blocked from seeing his considers me his and we replace. My declaration was not reexcept to condemn me for exerement. The Contrals to hear. Perhaps it was further from his my written words. This case had that been the case the Contrals.	maintain that relationship. Judge kept the Order of Protection in read, my witness was not listened to, my evidence was not considered reising my rights as a victim/witness in a potential rurt did make several references to our not having time because there were as the clock that caused Judge to allow our to be alienatedHe did not allow me or my witness to be heard orally nor did he so not been heard fairly nor has our best interest been paramount for urt would have allowed me to be heard, cared more about fairness and the allowed rather than the clock and maybe our would be
Judge violated	d Arizona code of Judicial Conduct Rules 1.1, 1.2, 2.2 and 2.6; my right to e to ARS 25-403.