State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-144

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him, threatened him, engaged in ex parte communications, engaged in improper demeanor, and threatened his witnesses.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Art Hinshaw did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: August 15, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 15, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL

with my opponents.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

18-144

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the judge did that cons names, dates, times, and places that will help the	the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own titutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may vant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper rds.
From what I and others have observed, and is st Case#	nown on the record: (Judge &
We are not getting a Fair Hearing/Trial	
measure against my request for reasonable according threats of arrest for contempt if I keep my own re	coercion and threats against me as a retaliatory ommodation in accordance with ADA. He responded with acord of the proceedings, thus unfairly putting me at a s my opponent. The record shows my statements under use to threats made by
Judges are supposed to remain a disinterested t bench it appears that he was the moving party or	hird party trier of the facts, from his behavior on the fact practicing law from the bench.
prejudice, and unfair favor with my opponents ar	witnesses in my case further evidences his bias, and has taken their side without presenting any evidence mity for defense to object during ex parte communications

His extra judicial punishment evidenced by threats he made towards the witnesses to intimidate them in my case proves beyond reasonable doubt that he has already, contrary to ethical standards & law, taken sides with my opponents.

He has made extra effort to publicly berate myself and my witnesses for the exercise of our right to freedom of speech, expression and press, and unlawfully placed what appears to be a gag order against us in favor of our opponents. He did not address nor publicly shame my opponents for falling to return phone calls or maintain contact with myself or others who have been contacting them to address concerns for my children, nor did he place an order against them to maintain regular contact.

It is also unethical and unjust to participate/allow ex parte communication with any party absent the opposing party ability to object and defend, and considering the circumstances of inadequate representation due to unfamiliarity with the case denied me the fundamental right to adequate representation. My requests and objections were ignored and denied to be present for a bench approach discussion and a chambers meeting during the hearing.

Though my opponents have not provided me with any clear and convincing evidence outside speculation, rumor, hearsay, and assumption. did unjustly act with prejudice to

00	BITTETT	ET ATTEN	FAT
	NETD		AL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						

		L	
	COMPLAINT AGAINS	T A JUDGE	
	COMI LAINI AGAINS	1 A CODGE	
Name:	Judge'	's Name:	
words what you believe the names, dates, times, and pla	e judge did that constitutes judi aces that will help the commission as (not originals) of relevant court of	size to file a complaint. Describe in y icial misconduct. Be specific and list a understand your concerns. Additional p documents. Please complete one side of	all of the ages may
location. He has also made		' or inquire as to the	
opponents to arbitrarily der limited time together while	prive me the right to keep record	nal, and merely has been made in favo of mv time with mv children and reflec This is arbitrary	t on our and
arbitrary and vague ground	is that is	e, liberty and pursuit of happiness, on The only confusing explanation was n ning might unfavorable happen to	
opponents without clear an trespasses. I question hearsay, rumor, speculatio	d convincing evidence. I take iss ability to remain neutral and n, or assumption. In the interest of	diced and slanted unequally in favor of sue, concern, and offense with these base his findings on evidence rather the of justice and for reasons stated herein be unethical at this point to continue of	nan n, the law
Myself and multiple family community have filed a con		iends, neighbors and members of our	
against my gender by uned	qually/unfairly ordering more visit	s a clear bias against me, and is discri- ation time for my estranged wife who i and routinely ignores court orders. It is	8

is prejudice and is discriminating against me because I am my gender is obviously the motivating factor in this discriminatory treatment. Not to mention his bias and prejudice against me for my service connected disability, and my religious beliefs.

He has ignored his Loyalty Oath of office to support and defend the principles of due process established in our federal and state constitutions. He is ignoring the supremacy clause of the federal constitution.

is also making all kinds of demands and orders engaging in extra judicial punishment from the bench and appears to be the moving party to the case, thus he is practicing law from the bench in The unethical and unlawful behavior of this public servant is depriving favor of and I of our fundamental rights, protections, privacy and freedom.

has unlawfully abused his position and threatened me and my witnesses multiple times with violence through the threat of contempt for exercising constitutionally protected rights. This is criminally negligent and is a violation of witness intimidation/tampering laws and puts my case in jeopardy of an unfair trial.