State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition	of	Compl	laint	18-148

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged an appellate court judge allowed pro tem judges in his court to exceed their authority.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Diane M. Johnsen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: July 31, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 31, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL
Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:				
words what you believe names, dates, times, and be attached along with co	form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may pies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper he complaint for your records.				
, is allowing his stat of	ı, in his capacity of fattorneys to make unarthorized decisions on case matters in the				
Staff Attorney, dismissed in was properly filed via	to the : on arbitrarily. My :				
In accordance with the attorneys of the appointed as and ending Motions that	has written and Ordered for 1 staff including staff attorney to be for the , beginning the term In this Order is an attachment that lists the type of Orders and are authorized to rule on defined, non-dispositive motions.				
when the	is allowing his staff attorney, to rule on my on the written Order written and ordered by does not authorize for to rule on Notice of Appeals in				
By be frivolously dismissed	to allow this process has caused into the to without thorough and appropriate review.				

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:		Judge's Name: .				
words what you names, dates, tim be attached along	believe the judge ses, and places that	ain paper of the same s did that constitutes judi will help the commission iginals) of relevant court d t for your records.	cial misconduct. understand your	Be specific and concerns. Additi	d list all of the	
is allowing his st	ւ, in his aff attorney to mak	capacity of e an unauthorized ruling	on my	I filed in	the ,	
Staff Attorney Motion for Recor	nsideration		apacity as a my buttal of any kind	into the	denied my	
In accordance	e with				in the	
attorneys of the		·		and Ordered f	or staff	
appointed as		for the	luding staff attor	•	- to be	
	•	•		, beginning the		
Motions that	34	 In this Order is an attachment that lists the type of Orders and are authorized to rule on; which are defined, non-dispositive motions. 				
	is allowing	his staff attorney,	to rule on	mv '		
_	when the	: written Order by		•	not authorize	
for	₃ to rule on		1 of filed	in t	the I	
Because of th	nis inappropriate all	owance, this has caused	mv	,	ı to be	
frivolously denied	d without any lega	l explanation of any sort a	and without thore	ough and appro	priate review	
and has denied r	ne appropriate due	process of law.				