State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of	of	Comp1	laint	18-1	56
----------------	----	-------	-------	------	----

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge did not follow the law and mocked him in a family law matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: August 23, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 23, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-156

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the judge did that names, dates, times, and places that will help	of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may frelevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper records.
	in Implicit Bias and has articulated his prejudgments. On
	ent in information on a timely manner or sent copies of their
	led a default motion, he denied it. Also, the respondent did was
allowed to call in telephonically for our	Hearing, while on the phone she had legal
council, whom was not registered to the case	e, that we could hear in the background, and did nothing about
it. During this same hearing he stated after the	he respondent denied the / after already having
in order to delay hearings, Judge	stated, that if the case was delayed to take another
	uld be given. Which he never exercised, even when motion
was placed requesting what he stated. Then	during this hearing he also stated that the be taken
in	The Respondent, defied his verbal orders.
He also had poorly written orders. The response	ondent proceeded and took the , and
nothing was done.	
Once : 'Judg	e did not order the " ' . He also,
	and not bringing as
ordered for this date. Judge was prese	ented with 3rd party facts about the health and safety of the
environment that the	, and ignored it sole based on the Respondents word!
He stated that he could not order	state of
 His temporary orders did not include 	e Holiday schedules. He stated to the Respondent if she was
late in our	" lose . He basically told
me to file an order and he would honor my o	orderHe also stated that during our meeting
that the	The Respondent was
late on the : , nothing happe	ned. He actually reprimanded me for filing orders that HE
suggest me to do. He stated in his other cas	es the people are far worse than the respondent in this case,
and I asked if we were still looking for the	not the other cases During the
	to be called because the Respondent put at
harm by), even though she
would have to return on the following	
	eral emergency orders have been filed with proof of the history
of the respondent and those around her were	
to the home and 👉 a mile of the home	e, yet he says the lis what we are to
being based off of this home.	
He has also shown a lack of preparedness a	and understanding of : law. Which has resulted in
	ligence in writing orders. He's mocked me in court
after I wrote a letter to him explaining the sev	verity of his actions. During the hearing he stated "
" that was a direct response to my lette	r about his orders on was on video and he did nothing
about it. He has asked me to	[
t	
	after seeing the respondent in a bad state due to alcohol,
	ker (Submitted evidence). I had spoken to
	they all stated that I could lose with the state if I
knowingly surrendered	 He denied my Temporary Emergency Orders, as

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the judge did that constitution names, dates, times, and places that will help the com-	same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own tes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the mission understand your concerns. Additional pages may t court documents. Please complete one side of the paper
I was in contempt of court and he having trial, because it seems as if he has made his changed his tone, however, he still , which had already been stolen by the I minor from were Judge wasn't even aware of and what I was seeking in my Pre-Trial Statement. He what it was. He then, even with video evidence of the 'said she left in good faith. He made a evidence supported my facts, but he stated he believ witnesses stated. The Respondent on had been cau again, he stated once I brought up her contradiction he made several statements about me outloud during that he was really pushing for, despite anyway. Once it was deemed that I'd be receiving chemical statements and listened at all to	over. Due to the information that I was given and the Respondent a " ', I kept As a dence, before the trial began, he had informed me that I then asked him, if we were still judgment before hearing and seeing the facts. Then he respondent by alluding Process Service and taking the Which I had placed this information le had to look up the law during trial. He had no clue a Respondent saying " accusations of me with no evidence. In fact 3rd party and I did what only the Respondent with no evidence or ght perjuring herself, and on when she did it minutes later, he said gour hearings, and then once I denied rour Pre-Trial statements, he ran the information what I want and again asked that he still deny at the assistance that she really needed. He then
On I filled a motion, because the Response to the . If these things weren't me subjected to .	andent violated the written orders of as it related to the trespondent (or myself, if I had failed) would be the expectations were clear in this part of the orders, do not do it at the stated facilities. Judge ance orders when it comes to this situation.