State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-158

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly attributed a prior conviction to him in a criminal matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member George H. Foster, Jr. did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: August 23, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 23, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-158

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and

Petitional was convicted in S For Two COULT BY Judge с'n conviction's I pled 90:114 to a ition. officia MISCONduct. ASSOF OUT nis<u>conduct</u> 4 UPPON ass ime tice 146C State The 10051 1.1 rh en Brought Anal USis Breas Blought UP Lase tom Case inn own かう Secold's had She Im C ase The d The tiom the Does aids hall ave from back in Hom <u>cas</u>c # Juda ske d give was ThP **≁**∂ Yes I will So There in COUN

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

To The She took her Time to Look at the I gave her ONKS Tala.A The 143 an ne. She Th what your hei Wying to Saul <u>ase</u># she said Hom nu Mach The Cost Room, So Judge USE イトク with in. lase Juda lear The court 1000 After The Judge looked of conni 99 me still smiling Then looked 61004 Dinle nese ald F'M' going Take ter Because This in rith now Belause PNOr 15 ^clom entance #2 rase. I has Your Name SoIm Say You, So good on <u>ading</u> 10 143 for This This 13 why Jim Loking.

Fol office use only

Commission to investigate The Judges willfall miscandoct in office, Also his willful and persistent failure to porform his duties as a Judge, His perment disabilities That interfere with Finitiat Judicial duties, conduct That brings The judiciary into discepute, A Violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct. Those are all The facts describing The Judge's misconducts. The Analysis Said That it wasn't ME. BUT The Judge took it in to his own hand's and said it was me over though for it saids in Black law U.S. V. Triumpt capital Grave, INC., 844 F. 3d 149,188 (2d cir. 2008) ("A court onalysis considers the evidence in it's totality, and the Government need not negate every Theory of inocence.") (internat quotation marks emitted), (Rule SI-Rule 2.9 C-D) Except as otherwise provided by Law, a judge shall not investigate facts in a matter independently, And shall consider only The Evidence presented and any facto That properly be judicially noticed. (D) A Judge shall make reasonable effort's, including providing appropriate Supervision, to ensure That this kulc is not violated by court staff, court afficials, and others sobject to The judge's direction and control. Added . Effarive Now I Ask You to investigate his wrong doing's. Also treep in mind That the Judge on This case committed Untertional Tort) (constitutional tort) (Tort) IT saids in Rule 609. (B) Limit on comp The Evidence After (2) (2) (C) Effect of a Paildon, Annulment, or certificate of

For office use only

Rehabilition, Evidence of a conviction is not admissible it: (1) The conviction has been The Subject at a pordon, Hundment, certificate at rehabilitation, or at other equivalent procedure based on a finding That person has been rehabilited, and The person has not been convicted at a to later crime punishable By death of by imprisoment for more than ; or (2) The conviction has been the Subject of a pardon, Annument, or other Convalent procedure based con a finding of I'nnocence. Now late in my finding I found out The of This case # from in The Sentence condition's where: SOF ASK You again How can the Judge Use some thing that has in and En court Back in