State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-167

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a hearing officer made factual errors, was biased against him, failed to justify his ruling and failed to rule correctly.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: August 23, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 23, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-167

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

12.5

4.

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

- YIOLATED ARS CODES OF G	NERT
DHAT Fellows '	
- FAIRNESS	
- Honesty	
- NEN- BIAS	
- ENIDENCE	
- Impartiolity	
- REDSONABLENESS	
- RETIONAL DECISION J	
- INTRIGITY	
- RESPECT	
- IMPROPRISTIES	
Leng Sources	
THE BASICS FOR THE ABOVE IS DETAILED	
MY ATTACHED LETTERS	<u>, IM</u>
MY LEDER DID 170	
2 My LETTER DTN TO	
	-
3. My LENTRE DID	Ta
Come	93
IRE-DOUTED)	

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

ر جو

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

JUDGEMENT WID	
5 My Complete Fil	TO HEARING
DE Your HAVE ANY GUE	STIBNS PLEASE FAIL
me AT	or Empli. To
· U	
	·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	-
	2
ы З	

Attention: _____ Subject: Unethical Behavior-Attachments: 1. Judgment dated 2. My Hearing File

an is unethical, arrogant, nasty and incompetent. He is an embarrassment to L. He lied and was extremely disrespectable at a recent Hearing of ______ He failed to adhere to the ARS which states" the court shall apply the law fairly, treat people with respect".

The following are some of the reasons for the above summary statement:

he fo	blowing are some of the	leasons for the use	1.4-3	states			
1	In the	Judgment	dated	states			
	4						
	". This is r	not true. There is no	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	" was			
2	Regarding	statement of "					
2.	advised by Plaintiff that	t the only person wh	10 did work	was the			
	and the second s		domg	I confirmed this			
	Defendant. There has be with tenant who has em	attal mathe serve	It should be not	ed that the Defendant's			
	with tenant who has em	alled me the same.	It should be not	*			
	Installation Proposal an	d Contract states -	A 1				
	The Defendent did not provide any evidence of who may have						
	Also it should be noted that the did not state that the anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense acted like a defense anything to the acted like a defense anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense anything to do with In spite of all the above acted like a defense acted like acted lik						
	And it should be here	In spite of all the	e above ac	ted like a defense			
	attorney for	by only questionin	g me with regar	d to the ?			
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
3.	The states " untrue. I have attached	Cally a Jacore	- 	he Hearing, Also it			
	untrue. I have attached	a copy of the docur	nemis taken to u	ante de autorite			
	should be noted that I p	movided to IO		Opio accountering			
		amont and sevi	егят оппет цосци	ICITO' I TOTO TOTO ATTAC			
	the mean cost data	street includes a rec	mest for reimble	rsement for an extra trip			
	the summary cost data	did not above up	denied this	and his cross out can be			
	because the Detendant	and not show up.	dollies and				
	seen on the document.		· · · · 1	to abook out			
4	I advised that the	e Defendant failed t	o go inside the l	nouse to check out			
	where 1	responded wi	th"	without explanation. I would not want endant why he did not go			
	de not understand why	who v	vas there to	would not want			
	do not understand with		iled to ask Defe	endant why he did not go			
	WO SEE			-			
	inside.			related to			
5	failed to provide	e evidence how the	penetrations we	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
-	h-h-s-	to interconsteme 90	bain. He was acu	THE TIKE THE OFTENDER P			
	than proceeded attorney again and a	He had s	several more qu	Canon			
	ignored the effect/cause	TT in a not oskad	the Defendant a	single question?			
	effect/cause	He had not asked	uic Lorentanit a				

6. The stated the Defendant denied that he caused any and stated he believed him. There was no evidence presented. I am uncertain how came to his conclusion? The defendant had no idea where were but where ever they are they are not his fault? Makes no sense. Failed to explain how all the workmanship issues noted in email occurred. failed to response.

7. had been influenced by Defendant on the issue that a mystery man appeared and did some which violated the warranty. This has not been validated by evidence. I did receive a letter from Defendant's attorney regarding that he may sue me if I did not drop my complaint. At this point I asked the tenant if she had any knowledge of anyone She provided me several emails stating no one has She did state that there was someone (

To fairly resolve this case I believe that a re-trial is necessary with an unbiased /competent judge. owes the court answers to the following;

1.Why did lie about it being a

2.5

2. Why did lie about the property being

3. Why did lie about the amount of documentation the Plaintiff had? Copy of documentation taken to Hearing attached.

- 4. Why did not identify the documentation the Defendant had which consisted of only ?
- 5. Why did not request evident from Defendant regarding mystery man who ?
- 6. Why did accept without evidence that the Defendant's workmanship did not ?

7. Why did ignore the issues identified in his email? It should be noted that fixing the repairs identified in his email fixed It should also be noted that NO

Based on the above has been less than fair as required by the ARS. is either unethical and/or incompetent.

If you have any questions, please call me at or email me at Thanks