State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of	' Compla	int 18-181
----------------	----------	------------

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge did not treat her daughter fairly on a probation violation matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: August 31, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 31, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-181

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages to attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.	
	the may
Ok I am not one to complain. This whole criminal vease against my daughter is plain bull. It's sad she is great kids. Who is now serving for provided is got away scott free. Cause I don't have to fight for whats right. Shas to sit there and suffer for something she shouldn't of been charged for. There was lack of evidence that wasn't provided. Not sure why but it wasnt when I was the one that got it. Not only that on I was at her hearing. Judge was in agreat mood with everyone there. Except my daughter who was the last to see him. He reinstated everyone with 5 violations violations. Here had and straight gave here. I doin't feel he was fair. I also tried to go her out on a third party I was denied. He wanted to make sure she I do understand us the crime you do the time. I'll say this this system is all wrong. It's sad just caue you hve no money the theres nothing you can do. I will say this he treated her unfairly didnt give her a chance to even speak. Her attorney didn't even fight foir her but of course thats just a court appointed lawyer go figure that. He we are on we did a post conviction and still noone has went to see her. I find it funny how shes be ignored. Well of course shes but I will be heard one way or another. It;s unfair I will proving her innocence. I did read on our sop called civil rights if she didnt know that it was stolen she shouldn't been charged. She was also it states if shes charged for the same offence its. Again it states on the paper that she was. Well I will get to the botrtrom of this we will be heard. Its sad after livinhy here in	see She e r 4 et do en