

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-185

Judges:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge and three appellate court judges improperly ruled against him in a criminal matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Peter J. Eckerstrom and Diane M. Johnsen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: September 26, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 26, 2018.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Complaint ①

COMP PLEASE PROVIDE A
complaint #

2018-185

Hi My name is _____ HERE is A Summary of
My CASE AND my Complaint. THE FACTS.

I WAS ARRESTED IN _____ FOR ALLEGELY RUNNING
ORGANIZE CRIME, THE CASE WAS BASED UPON
AND THE _____ CLAIMED THEY HAD DECODED MY
CONVERSATION.

I TOOK A PLEA BARGAIN AND THERE-AFTER LEARNED
THAT THE STATE HAD COMMITTED FELONY / FRAUD.
I WAS GIVEN DISCOVERY WHICH ALLEGED THE
POLICE HAD GOTTEN ORDER FROM A JUDGE TO TAP
MY PHONE. (IN _____ WHICH ~~THAT~~ IS A LIE.)
ANYWAY THOSE TAPS WERE USED AS
PROBABLE CAUSE TO PROCEED TAPING SOME MORE.

I FILED A RULE 32 / THE STATE CONTINUED LYING
ABOUT IT'S 'ILLEGAL TAP' / FRAUD ~~DISCOVERY~~ DISCOVERY, SAYING THEY
DID GET AUTHORIZATION, BUT HAD NO ORDER / WARRANT
NUMBER'S ARE JUDGE'S NAME'S TO PROVIDE. THE CONTINUED
LIES WERE

which

THEY ISSUED A

TO FIGHT MY FACTS WHICH ARE THE GRAND JURY HAD
NO JURISDICTION BECAUSE THEY CANNOT HEAR EVIDENCE
FROM ILLEGAL WIRETAP'S THERE FOR THERE'S NO

(2)

2018-185

CHARGE / THE TRIAL COURT NEVER HAD ANY
JURISDICTION OVER. THEY STATE ^{FILED} ~~FILED~~ A
WAIVER / OR ~~CONTINUED~~. SURRENDERED.
ALL BEING SAID Got NO RELIEF!

Complaint

LATER HAD A
BEFORE THE
SUBMITTED HIS APPEAL
BRIEF WHICH GAVE THE FACTUAL PROCEDURAL HISTORY
AS PROVIDED IN THE CASE SUMMARY. ABOVE,

ORDERED ^{EXT}
DIFFERENT TIMES TO CHALLENGE THE (FACTUAL
HISTORY.) EVEN THAT DID NOT REQUEST IT.
THE CONTACTED THE COURT
AND ADVISED THERE WILL BE NO CHALLENGE PUR TO;
31.13 (C)(2). THEY ADMIT AGAIN THE FACTUAL HISTORY.
SO THE COURT LITIGATED THE BRIEF / FOUND THE
FACTUAL HISTORY IS FACT / CONCURS WITH THERE
RECORD PUR TO 31.13 C1-4. SO FILED A RULE
32. IN THE ^{BASED}
UPON THE LITIGATION OF FACTUAL FINDING BY JUDGE'S
OF.

[TRUE FACTUAL PROCEDURAL HISTORY] AS ANY BRIEF NEEDS.

(3)

Detail^{ED} How Put to: AZ R. CIV. PROC
8 D 6, / SUBMITTED WITH THE RULE 32 THE APPEAL
COURT MEMORANDUM DECISION / MANDATE. THE ONLY
DOCUMENT^S THEY PROVIDE, WHICH ALL AGREED THE BRIEF
IS CORRECT ACCORDING TO PRESCRIBED LAW.

HOWEVER THE RULE 32 WAS FILED AS PRE-
LITIGATED FACT^S. CHOOSE
TO IGNORE THE LITIGATION,
HON. PLACED IN (DOUBLE
JEOPARDY) BY CONTINUE TO JUDGE HIM, THE
LAW IS CLEAR WHERE A COURT HAS NO
JURISDICTION IT MUST DISMISS THE
MATTER. HON. HAD NO CONCERN OVER
APPEAL COURT LITIGATION OF FACT^S OR
HIS RIGHT^S AS A ILLEGALLY INCARCERATED
HUMAN, HIS ACTION ARE BIAS^S / PREJUDICE,
AND SHOW A DISTANT FOR LAW / ALLEGED
DEFENDANT^S / LITIGATION^S THEMSELF.

AFTER HAD TO FILE A PETITION FOR
REVIEW IN THE APPEAL

BASED UPON THE SAME LITIGATED
FACT^S / OR TRUE HISTORY, JUDGE^S

CONTINUE TO JUDGE UNDER RULE^S OF
CRIM. PROC / STATUTE^S, ~~THEY~~ UNDER CRIMINAL LAW DO TO
PAST LITIGATE^S THEY HAVE JURISDICTION, THEY MUST
DISMISS / RESPECT LITIGATION^S AS FINAL.

(4)

Clearly /
Court's Action's violate 'DUE PROCESS' / ARE
illegal, / or UNCONSTITUTIONAL,

THE ABOVE JUDGE'S HAVE NO DESIRE TO UP HOLD
THE LAW THERE CONCERN IS TO SAVE A
illegal conviction at ANY PRICE,

IN FACT SINCE THE MATTER HAS BEEN JUDGED
IN / NO APPEAL WAS FILED BY
THE CASE AND IS
THE LAW OF THE LAND.

Tax Payer's Don't Pay For JUDGE'S to ~~in~~
Turn a BLIND EYE on Court litigation's.
BUT to up-HOLD JUDGEMENT'S,
PLEASE DO SOMETHING ABOUT THIS MISCONDUCT!
BIDED FOR Thank you!

Affidavit

Sworn By His Signature UNDER
PENALTY OF PERJURY DECLARE'S EVERY MATTER IN
THIS Complaint is TRUE / FACT / SUPPORTED BY
UNITED STATE COURT Document's