State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-194
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper evidentiary and legal rulings and allowed an attorney to know a defendant had filed a bar complaint against that attorney.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: October 3, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 3, 2018.

COMP Commission on Judicial Conduct 2018-194 From County, the following case #1's for misconduct in for the following reasons. trial. She brought him to court to bully him into part poning his trial scheduled for #2) She allowed his attorney to know about complaint against to
the for certisal to speak
to except for time before time. Also for
refusal to call material witnesses in
defense Not supposed to file complaints on open cases told investigator, that live with her on due to Evon her several times. the alleged she lived with was afraid to refum there because of knowing she called police on him. harator Witness + material, but naterial witness. He could have called her to see

if infact she lived there & seen where answers lead the defense, but told investigator that filed false charges against him not times claimed did this to her was also a character witness of not material even though said from that hat had filed folso charges against him. 4 investigator went online and confirmed the claimed she won \$ on door yet pounded on to have unlock door on in the morning. So could pay her cab fore that could pay her cab fore that would have proved was lying about the but was never called as a witness rever though she was present at utes never called #6) Judge o add misdemenor theft & assault in addition to the aggravated robbery charge

#7 Despite the jury seeing through

lies is weak defense by retarning a not guilt vivoict on judge

found guilty of thefty assault wich wesn't allowed a jurys verdact on ducto them being middemenors. the Judge allowed a recorded had been withdrawn. Thats" The plea burgain #9) Also only allowed the first of the, conversation to be played to the jury, said the second was. #10) Judge gave. sentence on #11) Had to " sentence. the sentence was excessive. He should have come home on when the jusy come back with a not guilty verdict. He's been held Illegally & the will agree. #121 conclusion. Also Illegal & She had to

vacate that ruling on have no regained for Arizona law and f criminal proceedure. She's more laws than have to trade places with a tractured hip from home to help care for me! with all my heart I know for you for you prayed ove way so much Incerely