State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-196
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace caused or is responsible for various procedural irregularities including delayed rulings, delayed mailing, inconsistent orders and improperly shortened timelines. Complainant also alleged a justice of the peace failed to follow the law.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: October 3, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 3, 2018.

I do not know who is administrating or running the , but something appears amiss. I am			in the	
, but	but when I read			
and follow published court rules and file a 26.1 motion in			on	
, comm	on sense would suggest there w	ould be a ru	ling within 30 days;	
not so in the	. My motion was not ruled on			
until	, and the Court did not mail out the ruling until ,			
it was delivered attached.	্য. The Court transn	nittal envelop	e and ruling are	
that have attorney who ap	other motions, one filed yet to be ruled on by the Court; peared for defendants at the m not alone in not having matters.	neither has	pre trial conference.	
	g needs to be done, such delays a business, let alone court syste	•	table; it's hard to	

Sincerely. /

ittached

(