State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-200
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner has violated her husband's civil and constitutional rights in a criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: October 3, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 3, 2018.

To who it may concern;

: (has been in

in for more than . He is being held without bail, He has been denied his civil rights, his legal rights and his U.S. Constitutional rights as well.

The Arizona legal system has failed him grosely by violating more than court rules and several Arizona state statutes as well.

He has been lied to, decieved, and intimidated by state appointed attorneys.

*NOTE: Recording of court proceding available upon request

This has all been brought to the attention of the

Court Judge

The

and

via

US Mail and nothing has been done.

I am now reaching out to anyone and everyone who may be able to help remedy a failed justice system.

My name is I am and on his Because he is being held without bail, I have been his " for more than

I am so over whelmed with the chain of events that have taken place over the past that i am finding it difficult where to begin. It is my opionion that this is either the result of a failed and, or, corrupt justice system or one of discrimination and racial profiling (is of) after reading this, I am fairley confidant that you would agree it could not be anything else. I will try to be as brief as possible...

The chain of events are as follows...

On was accused of theft, Even the the report was clearly bogas, starting with an obvious lie and ending with one, the Grand Jury indieted him and he spent the next in jail untill his case was dismissed without prejudice.

During that time he spent in jail, he was accused of assaulting another inmate (

when the theft case was dismissed, the court ordered him to report to

and be fitted with a GPS ankle monitor that he wore for the next

Case is over

and never even brought to court untill was arrested on

for possision of heroin while in the intensive care unit at

Because doctors informed the arresting officer that was " to be moved, he was not booked into untill he was medically cleared.

On he was indicated once again by a Grand Jury and, booked into where he remains today with no bail, no evidence, and no witness. and counting.

Here, I would like to mention two court rule violations. First court rule 8.2 that reads in part, as follows; THE COURT MUST TRY EVERY DEFENDANT WHOM AN INDIETMENT, INFORMATION, OR COMPLAINT HAS BEEN FILED WITHIN THE FOLLOWING TIMES;

DEFENDANTS IN CUSTODY;

request.

NO MORE THAN AFTER ARRAINMENT EXCEPT FOR COMPLEX CASES AND MURDER CASES.

*Note: No date has been set for trial in . case and he has been in jail for

And another court rule violation, is Court Rule #13.3 that states: TWO OR MORE OFFENCES MAY BE JOINED IN AN INDIECTMENT, INFORMATION, OR COMPLAINT IF THE ARE EACH STATED IN A SEPERATE COURT AND ARE

- (1) OF THE SAME OR SIMILAR CHARACTER
- (2) ARE BASED ON THE SAME OR SIMILAR CONDUCT OR OTHERWISE CONNECTED TOGATHER IN THIER COMMISSION OR
- (3) ARE ALLEGED TO HAVE BEEN PART OF A COMMON SCHEME OR PLAN.

*Note; the assault case and the possison case are currently being joined.

I mentioned in the start of this letter that has been appointed inadaquate counsel by the state. Here, I will touch briefly on that...

was represented by : form thru

of . During that period she failed to communicate with

l, keep him up to date on his case, protect his fundamental
rights and diligently work his case. During a case management
hearing she continued to agree with the prosecution.

I have a recording of that hearing that is available upon

I addressed the court in writing about the above mentioned concerns with A copy was mailed to Judge Attorney and to the At the next hearing, 1 requested to be removed from the case, her wishes were granted and she was replaced with attorney who took over the case in has been to see to discuss his case only times in out of the that he met with and the case was not discussed at all as told that he has never asked

about the case or what transpired on the day of his arrest. has lied stating that he has filed motions when no motion was filed he has failed time and time again to respond to requests sent to him by and myself and has made promisses that were not kept.

*copies of e mails between mr gavin and myself dating from are available on request

I also have reason to believe that is withholding information that could be detremental to the case as he continues to state that "

" and evades the question when asked why, as you will see in the attached text messages.

There is evidence that proves everything in this letter is true and fact. All of this has been brought to the attention of the Court,

and . Nothing ever transpired from that letter other than a acknowledgment letter I recieved from the court clerk.

Thank You for your time, Sincerely,

CC:

cc: