## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-204
Judge:	
Complainant:	

## ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to timely recuse himself, called him a "fool" in court, was biased against him, and made several improper evidentiary rulings in a criminal matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: October 11, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 11, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL

**N**T-----

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-204

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

video's Name

Name:	uuge s Name	
words what you believe the judge did that constitut	same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own es judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the	
names, dates, times, and places that will help the comm	nission understand your concerns. Additional pages may	
be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper		
only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.		

Attached is a handwritten Copy of this petitioners Complaint against the Judicial Officer. Please know how servere this Persons Complaint is and how this Petitioner Seeks accountability for Conduct Which Violated Constitutional rights, civil rights, Ethic and moral character of the petitioner and is negligent to the oath of office, is in fact prejudice, Bias and has many facets of Impopriety to Judicial Conduct and Professional Conduct With No respect to the Judiciary in Which his own Affirmation and Oath has been breached by Such actions from the bench, witnessed by many, but degragated this man, the fetitioner, Public Court of law, during Court Proceedings.



official Documents pages
Complaint is handwritten Copy
Attrachments of Violations (19-3A).

Dear Judicial Conduct Commission, in regards to Situations concerning a 1 am Writing State of Mrizona, the home of this Judicial officer is Honorable Judge and he was the Judge For Cases in the Court of between the Dates of assigned trial by Honorable. = until his Rescinding from Matters on the Date of Due to the Impropriety or Bias conflict of interest with once being a - For the Potitioner, + as a matter of fact that was entered into Court bu the on Exhibit #3 entered on record the In Regards to any type of fair or Impartial Conduct of

This Judicial Official this Petitioner needs to report a Innuendo or vile comment from the bench by such. On ... While on Court record . had to assume Pro-Per défense From Court appointed defense in open Court for Ineffectiveness of legal representation and upon Such was Called a fool by the Judicial Official Seated in his elected position with his Conduct Bias Prejudice and partial towards this Petitioner the Judge the time of notifying me of the Waiver of Counsel and Finding me Competent to Self-Represent made this repulsive remark in an open court of law to dishonor the Petitioner. the very oath of his office and proved without question prejudice with this his Comment; 2 of 9

11

With this Statement that can be proven through Video/Andio of the Court proceeding, Date and should be on transcript of Court record since the Court proceeding was as a matter of fact in process with Ex-defense/advisory counselor and the Pro-Per defendant as well as as well as Court officials under this

Judiciary Authority in Judge
Courtroom.

So this Petitioner being a
Person of faith in God has Serious
reasons for this type of Bias
Conduct that ought Not to be
practiced from this position

outhout accounterbility to his outh to professional Conduct

of 9

in this trusted position of Judiciary appointed by the people for the people to have conduct filling for this God given honor to be best in representing such a trusted office.

or child should want to be a person called a fool. I, in all my being, cannot and will not tolerate such mannerism or the Blatant Prejudice from Someone Whom takes this Honorable Position of authority to disgrace Such a noble position to disregard his Oath of Office for fait and Impartial Conduct and to have No moral thought to the fellow persons as to how belittled this unprofessional Conduct and manner of speech is to another human being. This type of Judicial Misconduct from the Authoritative Position must be eradicated and has

4 of 9

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.