

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-208

---

Judge:

Complainants:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased and would not let her see her child.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: October 11, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 11, 2018.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**Circumstances surrounding your complaint:**

I went to jail ( ) for assault against a domestic partner, I took a plea to keep ( ) from going to ( ) but while I was incarcerated my ( )  
 - ( ) was taken by dad who file a petition through ( ) on ( ) are first court hearing was set in ( ) I showed up and the  
 judge felt it would be best if I kept ( ) with father until I got everything together even though ( ) was never present at the time of the accident. I have filed petition  
 after petition and she will not let me see ( ) she approved ( ) but father wouldn't ( ) I told her about it and she did nothing, every court  
 hearing she denies me the right to see ( ) (naturally birth. I have completed drug testing, hair follicle, parenting aide, my anger management and domestic classes  
 are about completed I was giver ( ) and I have completed ( ) I have complied with probation and I have filed ( ) on my childs father not in retaliation but  
 what ( ) has confided in me and still nothing was done, I do feel this judge is being bias, even though ( ) is not treating both parties equally.

*I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the facts and statements contained in this declaration, including any attached statements, are true, correct, and based upon my personal knowledge:*

**Signature,** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date** \_\_\_\_\_