State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-223
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge would not let him speak on the record and threatened to have his competency evaluated in a criminal matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Art Hinshaw did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: October 18, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 18, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL
Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-223

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your ow words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the	
names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may	
be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the pap	er
only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.	

i	ON	AT OR AROUND 10-	
,	I WAS BEFORE	TUDGE	
	WHEREUPON GENERAL F	PPEARANCES WERE	
	MADE, AND DATES WERE SET		
	APPEARANCES, I WENT ON		-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ING"	NICORD BY SIMI	
		y. Arithmetika nyinyin	here i a series de la companya de la
· Later Annual Control		-	
		-	-
		-	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		1	
	I WENT FURTHER BY	STATENC THAT "	
	•	**************************************	
The second of th	•		
	•	when the second	<u> </u>
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	THE ALERT OF THE	"I WAS	
	THEN CUTOFF BY JUDGE	WHEREIN	
	THEN RESPONDED THAT "		
			-
	." HIS RESPONSE WAS T	HAT "	-
	<u> </u>	<u>"I</u>	
	THEN STATED.		<u></u>
	A		٠.

I WAS THEN CUTOFF

"THIS OVERALL INCI-

.

ACAIN, AND WAS TOLD BY JUDGE

DENT HAS LEAD ME TO QUESTION JUDGE
ROLE AS AN IMPARTIAL ADMINI-

STRATOR, HE PRACTICING LAW FROM THE

BENCH HAS NOT ONLY VIOLATED LONG STANDING

RULES AND PROCEDURES OF THE COURT. BUT

HAVE ALSO VIGLATED AND INFRINCED UPON

MY RIGHTS UNDER THE U.S. CONSTITUTION.

WHERE DOES A JUDGE GET OFF MAKING A DIAG-

NOSIS OF ONES MENTAL HEALTH? WHEN HE IS

OBVIOUSLY NOT QUALIFIED OR TRAINED AS A

PSYCHOLOGIST ... I AM APPALLED THAT ONE WHO

HAS BEEN SWORN, ACCORDING TO HIS GATH

OF CFFICE TO UPHOLD THE RULE OF LAW, IS

THE VERY ONE WHO HAS BREACHED IT, THIS

CONDUCT IS UNBECOMING OF ANY JUDICIAL

OFFICER.

*	ESPECIALLY ONL WHO IS CHARGED	
	WITH ADMINISTRATING LAW, I WOULD ASK	
	THAT THIS MISCONDUCT IS INVESTIGATED AND	
	THOROUGHLY LOOKED INTO,	·
	I KNOWINGLY, VO-	
	LUNTARILY, AND INTENTIONALLY DO AFFIRM	
	UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY AND UPON MY	
	OWN LIABILITY THAT THE FORECOING IS	
·	TRUE, CORRECT, NOT MISLEADING, AND COMPLETE.	
	SICNED THIS DAY OF	
	I,	
	RESERVE ALL MY RIGHTS	·
	PER UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE (UCC) 1-308.	
	<u> </u>	
	WITHOUT PREJUDICE	*
	UNDER PROTEST	
	ALL RICHTS RESERVED	
	UCC 1-308	
· •		
*		
		14.04 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -