State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-263
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: December 5, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 5, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-263

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
It says "
" Please see attached. IN MY CASE
I AM FINDING TUDGE TO BE DIASID BECAUSE I'ME
AND AGAIN BOTH (ALL) MY ATTORNIES PRESENTED
TO THE JUDGE THE SYSTEMATIC WAY
MY EX WIFE IS TRYING TO RUIN
MY RELATIONSHIP WITH MY CHILDREN.
JUDGE SEEM NOT TO HEAR OUR SIDE
OF THE ARGUMENT.
(1) We deemeded Jude to Change to
protective order to email communication
only involving children and asset. He derive
when he did approved my relationship with my children sot ruined.
2) We requested sudge to give father
DEODER paperby time to create
meaningful releationship with between
the father and the children. He deried
Judge purposely ruined way explationship with my
Charen.
PLEASE (ET ATTACKEN RESPOND BY
MY PREVIOUS AFTORNEY AND MY
COMMENTS. 2 NOXT PACH

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

	OFFICE USE OF

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

	COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE
	Name: _ Judge's Name:
- w	Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
	JUDGE CONSTANTLY USED DECADE OLD DOMESTIC
	VIDLANCE CASE AGAIST ME TO RUIN MY RELATIONSHIP
	WITH MY CHILDRED. ONLY PERSON JUDGE
	LISTENED TO IS THE PETITIONAR AND IT SEEMS
	THERE IS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST HE IS NOT
	DISCLOSING. I BELIEVE MY RACE WAS A
	FACTOR ABOUT HOW HE RULLD AGAINST ME.
	EVEN HE PASSED AN OBDER
	TAKING MY RIGHT AWAY AS A FATHER.
	I FOUND JUDGE TO BE BIASED
	CONFLICTED, UNETHICAL . I PAID EVERY PEMNY
	OF CHILID SUPPORT TO CLEARING HOUSE AND
	HE DRDERED WAGE GARNISHMENT INSTEAD
	SO I GET FIRED FROM MY JOB LIKE
	HOS! ORDER DID LAST TIME. I GOT FIRED
	150
	NVI I
	IMPARTIAL. HE ONLY LOOKED AT MY WIFTS SIDE AND BELATION
	SIDE AND BELAUSE OF HIM MY RELATION WITH MY CHILDREN ENDED.
	MY FX WIFE BROKE THE COURT ORDER SEVERAL TIMES OF WITH HELP FROM JUDGE SHE SEPERATED
	ANYTHING ABOUT " DOESN'T KNOW " AND ITS
	A TOTAL DISGRACE . JUDGE SIMPLY LOOKED
	THE OTHER WAY WHEN MY EX WIFE DID ANYTHIM
	MEONY OTHER MAS ANDIRCTORED CONTRICT IN LINE (VIET
	MADINAO HE TING

JUDGE

IS EXTREMELY BIAGED

FARS LEAST RESPECT FOR FUDICIAL
IMPARTIALITY. ARROHAM, UMPROFESSION
AND CONFLICTED. AF SHUVLD
BE REMOUSD FROM HIS POST
ASAR.