## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

# Disposition of Complaint 18-268

Judge:

Complainant:

#### ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace improperly released a criminal defendant.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Art Hinshaw did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: December 12, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 12, 2018.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-268

#### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On was arrested on class felonies, sexual assault and continued sexual abuse released him. Also stipulated of a When was brought before Judge for the initial appearance, was the victim needed to be notified before the release. On r went to the court and my spoke with a clerk who told him Judge was out of the office for I He then asked to speak with of the pro tem judge. confirmed was out for the

On at approximately my received a call that was due in court at but did not know why. My called me, as he was unable to make court on time. When I arrived and court began, Judge was present and explained this was a determination hearing of release for

Also present was

and myself.

 Judge 'seemed upset at both the soffice and the for not being able to make victim notification since had ordered to be released. Ultimately Judge ordered released although victim notification still as not been made.
 office and the software
 for not being able to make victim

counts. These are serious charges. Lalso have My complaint is the fact that he was released initially on two was booked in on 6 ), seen by information a the same judge and ordered released. I am at a loss that both of these people were released in the first place. Judge I don't know if : is at the point where is also up for and is not does not care who gets released and who does not show concern this is a serious crime against a ) am also for the i decided to just come into court this wondering how Judge if on released. I was outside the court and notice Judge There were also left at and order was the only ' Which leads me to believe no other people in custody waiting to be seen by Again, I don't understand why was already off for to hear a case came into work if saw this especially when there is a pro tem judge available. I am also not sure if there is a specific statute regarding victim notification. How can a person be released without victim notification being done? Who is liable if after that person is released, he contacts or reoffends and all the while the victim was not contacted. The state is liable!

worked for is such a small Judge knows I am also concerned, since for a time as for a number of and was a who was arrested is also well known in this and I'm well as a The ( tor other cases, representing the state. Judge called bv first sure has gone in front of Judge Judge also called : name once during court this morning and another time addressed as once as well. I think this is unprofessional and shows that knows the people involved.

 Judge
 stated
 was released due to
 issues. So, I'm concerned that anyone having an Initial

 Appearance in front of Judge
 would be released if he/she was
 enough. I highly doubt a homicide suspect

 would be released, why would two different men, one with
 class
 and the other with
 class

 be released? I would like to ask that your office take a look at what is going on the Justice of the Peace Court
 in
 Isn't it a conflict for
 to initial anyone who
 knows this well?
 obviously knows the deputy and

2

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

#### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

and the second second and the second s

Judge's Name.\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I'm sure you have more access to records than I do but I can go onto public access and see who was arrested and who had an initial appearance with Judge between I wonder who got released, possible all of them?

If there is anything further I can do to help you in your process please call me or email me at

Thank you for taking the time to look this over.