State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-271
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a former justice of the peace conspired to deceive the voters in his county.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Art Hinshaw did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: December 12, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 12, 2018.

Comp 2018-271

ARIZONA COMMISSION JUDICIAL CONDUCT 1501 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 229 PHOENIX, AZ 85007

By the time this is received Mr. Judge the and the will have won the by a conspiracy to openly violate all the rules that follow.

RULE 1.3

AVOIDING ABUSE OF THE PRESTIGE OF JUDICIAL OFFICE A JUDGE SHALL NOT ABUSE THE PRESTIGE OF JUDICIAL OFFICE TO ADVANCE THE PERSONAL OR ECONOMIC INTERESTS OF THE JUDGE OR OTHERS, OR ALLOW OTHERS TO DO SO.

RULE 2.4

EXTERNAL INFLUENCES ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

- (A) A JUDGE SHALL NOT BE SWAYED BY PARTISAN INTERESTS, PUBLIC CLAMOR, OR FEAR OF CRITICISM.
- (B) A JUDGE SHALL NOT PERMIT FAMILY, SOCIAL, POLITICAL, FINANCIAL, OR OTHER INTERESTS OR
- RELATIONSHIPS TO INFLUENCE THE JUDGE'S JUDICIAL CONDUCT OR JUDGMENT. (C) A JUDGE SHALL NOT CONVEY OR PERMIT OTHERS TO CONVEY THE IMPRESSION THAT ANY PERSON OR ORGANIZATION IS IN A POSITION TO INFLUENCE THE JUDGE.
- B) A JUDGE SHALL KEEP REASONABLY INFORMED ABOUT THE JUDGE'S PERSONAL AND FIDUCIARY ECONOMIC INTERESTS, AND MAKE A REASONABLE EFFORT TO KEEP INFORMED ABOUT THE PERSONAL ECONOMIC INTERESTS OF THE JUDGE'S SPOUSE OR DOMESTIC PARTNER AND MINOR CHILDREN RESIDING IN THE JUDGE'S HOUSEHOLD.
- C) A JUDGE WHO RECEIVES INFORMATION INDICATING A SUBSTANTIAL LIKELIHOOD THAT ANOTHER JUDGE HAS COMMITTED A VIOLATION OF THIS CODE SHALL TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION
- E)
 MAKE USE OF COURT PREMISES, STAFF, STATIONERY, EQUIPMENT, OR OTHER
 RESOURCES, EXCEPT FOR ACTIVITIES THAT CONCERN THE LAW, THE LEGAL SYSTEM, OR
 THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, OR UNLESS SUCH ADDITIONAL USE IS PERMITTED BY
 LAW.
- (5)
 ACTIVELY TAKE PART IN ANY POLITICAL CAMPAIGN OTHER THAN HIS OR HER OWN CAMPAIGN
 FOR ELECTION, REELECTION OR RETENTION IN OFFICE

The from the show how they did it. It was apparently a long plan and the seems to have first made his into a in his Then he got his influence and connections to allow him to take a judge course that does not seem available or

generally policized for the public. Then he got him to for a in front of at his attorney and staturory agent attorney: Only the judge had this access because it is his company, the represents. He then did other things like allow the that to run his instead of his at the [so that people would think the was running and he would not tell reporters how to reach his He said he would show favoritism if he talked but he did exacly that by not talking. This is in the The also let use the he had used before with the only difference being conspired to violate the same rules with the o gain the seat of the He did not have any information available to the public. Not even a phone. He this at the of the campaign when it was too late already because most votes came in by mail. Then he answered some complaints but not before. By then he took full advantage of fooling the public and conspiring with The should be punished by removal so that this conduct not be encouraged and the be punished by not being allowed to take an election he got in this wrongful way.

The

Many eyes have been fixed on as he quietly conducts his campaign for.

His candidacy initially raised concerns because he is the and of the man who

has been unusually quiet for a candidate running for an elected office, and has stayed largely out of the public eye. This has raised speculation of an attempt to use his to confuse voters into thinking they are voting for the Over the past several news outlets have attempted to contact for interviews with not much luck.

opponent, is a with a here in ' He's been upset with campaign strategy, and has talked to the frequently in an attempt to make identity more clear to the public. On campaign 1 , there is a claim that he completed A pro tem (short for pro tempore) is a person that works temporarily in the place of an official judge, similar to a substitute. In pro tem judges are required to have at least of experience as a licensed attorney and cannot be less than does not meet these requirements, since he has never attended and just So his claim of completing training for a pro tem judgeship raised recently a few flags among legal community, including On accused of lying about the judge training because it isn't possible for him to become a pro tem judge. He also sent emails to several including ' urging reporters to look into his said that there are different types of pro tem judge training with different requirements for each one. The training completed was an online course that came in the form of computer software that can be completed on a self-paced schedule. This is not the same as orientation training for official pro tem judges, which is what assumed was implying in his ' credentials. Over reached out to to clarify the specific training that he completed and he responded, to our surprise. It's important to note that although he used vague terms on his never said that he was in a position to become a pro tem judge. In an email wrote: The. is produced by the Judicial College of Arizona. Between successfully completed all of the required modules. has thoroughly researched this story. Here his response and findings on the

As of deadline, could not be reached for comment.

•

Flier for candidate for.

is banking on in the for the

is _ office, and the _ , is asking voters in campaign material to help him continue the `

is in a fight for his nascent law in		scent	with lawyer	who has been practicing	
And while an completed	isn't a the pro-tem jud	and has never	taken the	he tells the voting public he has and has	
campaign r	materials. Also,	he said he is the		notes in his	
said th	e fight should t	pe about politics –	– noting in bright 1	red fact check that his political	
However, v	voter registratio	n records show	has only got	registering to vote	
i	n their campaig	n material list end	dorsements from re	tired judges.	
A late entry	into the race i in what is a	s. direct response to	candidacy.	would be a	