State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-276
Judges:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged two superior court judges were not in compliance with the ADA and improperly dismissed his medical malpractice lawsuit.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Art Hinshaw did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: December 12, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 12, 2018.

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-276

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:_	
Instructions: Use this form or plain pape what you believe the judge did that constit times, and places that will help the commi- along with copies (not originals) of relevan keep a copy of the complaint for your record	utes judicial misconduct. Be specific ission understand your concerns. Ad t court documents. Please complete	and list all of the names, dates,
See temmission on Judicial Conduct Compi	laint Ne puesus at le .	horana, Corola
Siled Insmissales for	plainent Medical Malpractice Claim.	rate A.B.S. & 12 . Har (F). For examp
a.) 42 45 C 88 1981 and 1982 Bariel Discriming	frontlaine; b) 42 450 35 1885, 1986	Rial Rights Conspiracy Claims and
d.) 42 U.S.C. \$ 1997(e) Rebebilitation Act on	d Americans with Disabilities Art.	
	idec conplaint number judge	is also responsible
in violating the redecal Disability Statute (ADA" and Section 564 of the Rehabil	define det te apply to
Lindhe of Complement's Medical Me	Speecher Claire) I'su	I Including De
cerious es my attached	giving the appreciance of impro	
and Tudges as assected in	<u> </u>	
5		
		When the second
	The state of the s	***************************************

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-276

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
There are several sivil rights statutes besides \$ 1983 that are semetimes invaked by For example.
a. 42 U.S.C. \$5,981 and 1982 Recial Discrimination Claims; b. 48 U.S.C. \$8 1985, 1986 Civil Rights Conspiracy
Claims; and d. 12 H.S.C. & 1997(a) Rehabilitation Act and Americans with Disabilities Act.
The Federal Dischility Statute ("ADA") and Section 184 as the Rehabilitation Act apply to
have beard claims under these statutes, and in some cases granted significant relief, in cases implying
with hearing impairments, visual impairments, mahility impairments, mental illness, alcoholism, drug addiction
that does not involve encount use of drugs, acm and shoulder impairments, dishetes, and other disabilities.
_ completionant is an
See Complaint 1. Complainant
initiated this instant pre se action on by Elling a and Arizona state law Complaint
against including and Isea I Specifically, Complained association
42 U.S.L. 1883 claim of deliberate indifference to his asserted serious : and (2) an
Acisana state law medical negligence elaim concerning his provision of eace and treatment for
Lamplainant assected that while he qualified for he has
yet hern treatment ! Complainant assected that because he has been denied and delayed
(specifically.), he has suffered as a result severe and
Isee I
On through course I and the Desendants
sought an lodge from, Tudge declacing expect witness testimony necessary and compelling Complainant to serve
a preliminary expect apision within at the laurt's Dolor pursuant to A.R.S. \$ 12.7603 (D) or that large
platnant's. assected against all named Defendants shall be dismissed with
prejudice pursuant to A.E. S. \$ 12:140 S.(F). While denying access to discovery under Rule 21:37, Fed. R. Civ. P., and denying
access to expert witness [es] for Complainant. [See Defendants . In Support of Motion to Dismiss Plannick's
Exercised to A.R.S. \$12.2603 (F) &iled : submitted : Lise Minute Folia Siled

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

	COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE	
Name:	Judge's Name:	
times, and places that will help the	ain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your ow t constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the name e commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be a relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper of	s, dates,
Title IT of the ADA, the public enti	ity" section, provides that "no qualified individual with a disability	-theil, by
season of such disability, he excluse	ded from participation in or be denied the boosfit of the services,	posglams
or activities of a public entity, as be	subject to discrimination by any such entity, section say of the Rel	habilitatio
Let provides that "Inla otherwise qu	nalizied individual with a disability in the United States shall, sale	والبرام بروجو
son of her achie dischility he exclud	led from the participation in , be denied the beachit of , or be subject	ch to dis
ecimination water any program or a	attrity receiving Federal Financial assistance or under any program	merad.
with conducted by any Frecutive as	gency This means that any agency - including the department	
che - that receives a		secuices.
pengrams, and activities. Federal age	encies and prisons are subject to the ADA, but they are subject to the	Behahib
tation det. Department of ce	gulations gramuligated under the stabutes are applicable to the pris	aus and
jaile.		
Here, to take advantage of the ADA	, complainant must be a "qualified individual with a disability" "Dis	ability"
under the ADA means; (a) a physical a	or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the n	na/or_
life activities of Fant individual; (4)	a record of such impairment; or (e) being regarded as having such on	irpaic.
ment las described in the ADA Amenda	reads Act).	<u>'</u>
_ This desinition is comparable to the	a definition of "heading" under the Robabilitation Act, so Robabilitation	in Ast
ease law on this point will be very relevant		
Istence of a disability.		
To qualify disability on imp	airment must be one that "substantially limits one or muce major life ac	divilies.
	leterns in the ADA Amendments Act of sens, effective	to ever
rule restrictive interpretations of the		
	active decisions flading on impairment did not affect a major life and	Local.

	For example, court held that is not a major life activity. However, the amendment
	specifically include as major life activities, and is mough
	that Complainant cannot survive without it. The amendment statute also mentions digestive and circulat.
	ory sunctions, to which the liver contributes. So it lacks to Complainant like is now a major.
	life activity under the amendment.
	does, of course, present a serious medical need, though some courts prefer to look at
<u> </u>	the specific need presented by a particular in determining seriousness, is diagnosed
	by a for , (as, in Complainant's). Complaints about
-,.	ace decided under the same deliberate indifferent standard applied to other medical care
	claims. Several decisions have held that restrictive rules and practices (as, in Complainant's situation)
	that prevent, from receiving treatment for this disease paise substantial Kighth Amendment issues
	Because the new are being denied due to non-medical neasons (i.e., cost) despite being the
	standard of rare for , The Complainant argues that the Defendants failure to provide the new
	days constitutes deliherate indifference in stalation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments. And also raises
	elaions under the Americans, with Disabilities Act and Rehabilitation Act of the Tudge's independence, integrity, and
	impartiality at the judiciary to avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety.
	(Eucther) and daily, , and Fran
	lack of treatment for ratisfied the physical injury negativement). Further supported by the entire trial
	and appellate court record, appendix, affidavit, and exhibits under
	erenee.

.