

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-279

Judges:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged two superior court judges and five superior court commissioners were operating outside of their jurisdictional authority.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaints are dismissed in their entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Art Hinshaw did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: December 19, 2018

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 19, 2018.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

JUDGE

TO WHOMEVER THIS MAY CONCERN, I
FIND MYSELF FILING THIS JUDICIAL COMPLAINT AGAIN-
ST JUDGE, COMMISSIONER, MASTER, AND/OR REFEREE
AFTER IT HAS BEEN BROUGHT TO MY ATTENTION
THAT THE ARIZONA COURT IN AND FOR THE
COUNTY OF DOESN'T OPERATE UNDER THE COMMON
LAW, BUT RATHER STATUTORY LAW, UNDER STATUTORY JURIS-
DICTION A JURISDICTION THAT I HAVE NEVER HEARD OF,
AND ONE THAT I HAVE YET TO FIND ON GOOGLE IN THE
BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY 4TH, 5TH, 6TH, OR 9TH EDITION(S)
RESPECTFULLY, OR IN EITHER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUT-
ION OR THE ARIZONA STATE CONSTITUTION FOR THAT
MATTER.

IF SAID JUDGE, COMMISSIONER, MASTER, AND/OR REFEREE,
IS SECRETLY OPERATING IN A JURISDICTION NOT SANCTIONED BY
THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, IT IS NOT ONLY ILLEGAL, BUT
IT IS ALSO UNCONSTITUTIONAL... UNDER ARIZONA CODE OF
JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 1.1 COMPLIANCE WITH THE LAW.

"A
JUDGE (COMMISSIONER, MASTER, AND/OR REFEREE) SHALL
COMPLY WITH THE LAW, INCLUDING THE ARIZONA CODE OF
JUDICIAL CONDUCT."

UNDER ARTICLE VI CLAUSE 2 WITHIN
THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, STATES...

28
"THIS CONSTITUTION, AND THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES...
SHALL BE THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND, AND THE JUDGES
[COMMISSIONER, MASTER, AND/OR REFEREE] IN EVERY
STATE SHALL BE BOUND THEREBY, ANY THING IN THE CON-
STITUTION OR LAWS OF ANY STATE TO THE CONTRARY. NOT
WITHSTANDING."

EACH JUDGE, COMMISSIONER, MASTER, AND/OR
REFEREE HAS SWORN TO SUPPORT THE UNITED STATES CON-
STITUTION AS PART OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE. THAT
BEING SAID, UNDER ARTICLE III SECTION 2 WITHIN THE
UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, STATES...

"THE JUDICIAL POWER
SHALL EXTEND TO ALL CASES IN [COMMON] LAW AND EQUITY
... TO ALL CASES OF ADMIRALTY - MARITIME JURISDICTION."

NO-
WHERE WITHIN THAT SECTION, NOR WITHIN ITS ENTIRETY
DOES IT MENTION ANYTHING ABOUT A STATUTORY
JURISDICTION. THAT ALONE, IN AND OF ITSELF PROVES
THAT SAID INDIVIDUAL IS KNOWINGLY OPERATING UNDER
AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JURISDICTION. WHAT ALSO MAKES
IT ILLEGAL, IS IF THIS HYPOTHETICAL (STATUTORY) JURIS-
DICTION, AND THE SAID INDIVIDUAL IS KNOWINGLY,
VOLUNTARILY, AND INTENTIONALLY, OPERATING UNDER
THE GUISE OF SOME OTHER JURISDICTION, WITHIN THE
AFOREMENTIONED SECTION OF THE ABOVE ARTICLE.

29
LET'S SAY FOR EXAMPLE... ADMIRALTY-
JURISDICTION, THAT WOULD CONSTITUTE
FRAUD ON MULTIPLE LEVELS WOULD IT NOT? TO
NAME A FEW, FRAUD IN THE INDUCEMENT, FRAUDU-
LENT CONCEALMENT, AND/OR HIDDEN FRAUD.

I,
DO AFFIRM UNDER
PENALTY OF PERJURY AND UPON MY OWN LIABILITY,
THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE, CORRECT, NOT MISLEADING,
AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, I HERLIN
AND HEREBY RESERVE ALL MY RIGHTS PER UNIFORM
COMMERCIAL CODE (UCC) 1-308.

SIGNED THIS

OF