

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-288

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

An anonymous complainant alleged that a superior court judge coerced a woman into an intimate relationship.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: January 9, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 9, 2019.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Comp (Anonymous)
2018-288

Anonymous

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct.
1501 W. Washington Street
Suite 209
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Sir/Madam:

Please be advised that the Honorable Judge
conduct falls far below the professional standard of
judicial conduct.

While working in _____, a woman
approached me and relayed a story of which I can only
believe she had first-hand knowledge.

This unknown woman to me said that Judge _____ coerced her
into a dating relationship which ended with her husband
confronting her at the end of the day on the courthouse
property with a _____. He _____ her, _____ the _____ on
_____ and _____ himself. This conduct was
witnessed by a juror.

As Judge _____ continues to be _____ by _____
I can only surmise that this conduct is
acceptable in Arizona. I can tell you that that conduct is
not acceptable in _____

Therefore, if Judge _____ is to continue to be _____ on
the _____ I suggest that
research be conducted into some kind of sexual predator
insurance policy because a man like that will not stop and
the women in the halls of the courthouse are not protected.