State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-290
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to report attorney misconduct.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: January 9, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 9, 2019.



CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-290

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name	Judge's name:	Date:	
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.			
1	asconduct of Atternace	1	
regards to the	Volcilled agreement that was m	nade and placing my	
None Unrealed	ad in the paperwark of 1 hi		
152 416	. Enclargering	ind dud invite but	
the innocont li	fe's of my family. I am attac	thing a copy of the	
	I filed in the county.		
kobe 1	courtiRule 2,15(B)(D) of Rule	81 of the	
Court, states the	at a Judge having knowledge -	Hat a lawyer has	
	Mobilian of the rules of Paole	·	
raisas a subst	artial question regarding the	Laurer's Horsely	
trustwarthings.	or PHHOSS as a lawyer in of	er resports shall inform	
	authority or a Judge who		
	stantial likelihood that a lawy		
a violation of	the rules of professional Core	local shall take	
appropriate oc	tion. I have motified and infa	med Judge	
of the miscondu	d of Atherray	and	
	ON NUMBER CREATSSONS, FIRST		
riecived a letter	r from me to the Judge .	stating this issue.	
	1 goldressed) on I so		
Judge	Notifying him that I had mail	ed a complete on	
to the.		secularly miscolard at	
æf., `.,	and that I find a buscit i	cont the	
CN Wree	ands to the same issues. (he	still ignored the issues,	
SINCE then on		has opened a file Nombae	
and assigned	to unaligate my	complaint which I	

have informed the court in person without being able to howe it addressed.) On I filed an" permitted under Rule 219 to Address Lawyer misconduct under rule 2.15 (which I didn't get notice it was recieved confiled.) I senta" "to the court inquiring about the O_{Ω} "I sumitted and sort a copy of the moltany also I informal the court I had sent copies of the motion who was tosted with assigning me new coursel, at the and to the tourt to be attached to my open the inthat court . (on in court Judge told me that I could either withdraw my moster or he would strike it because it was not filed through coursel even though I was without counsel when I filed the motion.) on court tried to reassigned. as my counsel even though he was assigned to my case before and have open file cases with the IN regards to the and be investigated by saves stemming from my case and are both named as defordant's in my lawsout in the court in regard to my case also this is what Judge used as me having counsel even though IN that this could be a conflict court advised of juliest so as far as I know I am still without counsel. No where have I soon that the intermedian the sudge recives under Rule ZIS(D) on misconduct of a Lawyer must come from coursel or other

legal staff. Further more I know this is Not the only raise in regards to misconduct of

that broke has been made aware of IN his court at this time. Which also shows is history and continuing issueds in rosex that herothes. So be an inflation he has rectual on this mother you could say he has knowledge of this act and and chanses to ignore ar award the miscandist. I have Exhalsted all my aptions in trying to have this addressed. I feel that I have no other choice but to file this complaint on Judge

Respectfully Sumitted