State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-292
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge allowed improper expert testimony in his criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: January 9, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 9, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL
State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-292

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name	Judge's Name:
what you believe the ju times, and places that	form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words dge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and laint for your records.
HERE Th	is complaintent adamently Contends be is actually
innocent and	was wrongly Convicted and Sentences in Through The use of changes previously
<u>.</u>	Through The USE OF Changes previously
dismissed in	
The char	yes previously dismissed and used in This Case was
predicates of	The Child Sexual Abose Accommodation Syndrome
	testimony allowed by Tudge
Noen	sing is not a qualified sport who
Comport with	The role of law prosumet to Aria, R. Coin P. 11.3 (6)
definition of	mental health expert which provides:
	erased physician
(2) Any (ertified psychologist
	Because she
WAS testifyin	y largely regarding The Child Sexual abour
Accommodation	Syndrome. The "Syndrome" was First written
about by psy	chiatrist 10 By
had	Specifically claimen That This "Syndrome" is Not A
diagnostic to	of and should not in any way be used to
determine 1	whether or NOT Abuse has Taken place (or used here)
Spec	Fically Sain his Syndrome was not A
Syndrome (As Judge was well Aware in
AZ Suprems c	t. R. SI Rules of The Sup. CT 2.15 (A.E) SEE Comment.
IN Addi	ties Judge was well owner That
جو ب	Not qualified as an Expert" To TE Fute

	pediatric testimony in This ense			
involving The Allege	p Victin	giving I	he appearance	
of impropriety	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR			
			4 () () () () () () () () () (
			ALG WAR A STREET AND A STREET A	
			an ann an	
		and the second s		
		the state of the first of the state of the s		
			- 1000 P 10 P 10 P 10 P 10 P 10 P 10 P 1	
		**************************************	eryanya mana a mana	
77	and an analysis of the second analysis of the second analysis of the second and an analysis of t			
			a tanadi deligi. Hama a jama sa manana a mahad Appeliper pera perpendukan kenya kenya kenya kenya manana a mananya m	