## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-295
Judge:	
Complainant:	

## **ORDER**

The complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace did not afford her an opportunity to be heard and engaged in improper demeanor.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: January 9, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 9, 2019.

To whom it may concern:

My name is		and on	I had a hearing with Judge	It was done
over the	, and the plaintiff was		from	

We were waiting outside the court room for quite a while and we thought they were going to call us in. with they did not so I received a letter stating that I did not show up. The judge was on the phone with the plaintiff when we entered the court room the plaintiff just kept talking and when I tried to say many things that were untrue. I tried to defend myself and give my story but the judge kept saying it was not relevant to the case without even hearing what I had to say. I feel that this was a one sided hearing and I really never got to state my case. My got upset because he was lying about something concerning her and the judge told her to shut up. And they had to leave. When the plaintiff stated that he wanted the money in from myself the defendant and I told the judge I was not working at the moment and I am a he told me to get over it and find a way to get the money. He was the on the which he connived me to the it and when things got bad between us that's when he decided to take the car from me. He never cared about me missing payments in the past. In a letter he sent to the court he stated that we never had a relionship which was untrue cause he wanted to be more than friends with me and because I didn't want that he told my he would make my life miserable and made sure I had nothing.

I just feel that I was not given a fair hearing. The only one that got to talk is the plaintiff Thank you for your time and consideration of this matter.

CASE:

Disposed

LANdline

HEARIN AG