## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-297

Judges:

Complainant:

## ORDER

The complainant alleged two superior court judges were operating outside of their jurisdictional authority.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: January 9, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 9, 2019.

2018-297

	TO WHOMENIED THITS MON CONCERN. T. CTUD
	TO WHOMEVER THIS MAY CONCERN. I FIND
	MYSELF FILING PNDIOR LODGING THIS JUDICIAL COM
	PLAINT AGAINST JUDGE, COMMISSIONER, MASTER, AND
	CR REFEREE AFTER IT WRS BROUGHT TO
	MY PITENTION THE.
	PND FOR THE COUNTY OF OVER WHICH THE
	NAMED INDIVIDUAL PRESIDES. DOESN'T OPERATE UNDER
	THE SOMMON LAW BUT BRITHER UNDER STRIUTORY LAW
	UNDER STATUTORY JURISDICTION. A JURISDICTION THAT
	I MAVE NEVER HEARD OF, AND ONE THAT I HAVE YET TO FIND
	ON GOOGLE, IN THE BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY 4TH. STH. 6TH.
	ANDIGR 9TH EDITIONSS RESPECTFULLY OR IN EITHER THE UNITED
	STATES CONSTITUTION OR THE ARIZONA CONSTITUTION FOR THAT
	MATTER,
	IF SAID JUDGE COMMISSIONER, MASTER AND/OR REFEREE
	IS SECRETLY OPERATING IN A JURISDICTION NOT SANCTIONED BY THE
	UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION IT IS NOT ONLY ILLEGAL, BUT ITS
	ALSO UNCONSTITUTIONAL UNDER ARTIONAL CODE OF JUDISTAL
	CONDUCT RULE I. I COMPLIANCE WITH THE LAW,
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	UNDER
	ARTICLE TT CLAUSE 2 WITHIN THE UNITED STATES CON-
	STITUTION, STRIES
	3

.

.

• •	
1	
	"THIS CONSTITUTION, AND THE LAWS OF THE UNITED
	STATES, SHALL BE THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND,
	AND THE JUDGES [COMMISSIONERS MASTERS, ANDIOR RE-
	FEREEST IN EVERY STATE SHALL BE BOUND THEREBY.
	ANY THING IN THE CONSTITUTION OR LAWS OF ANY STRIE
	TO THE CONTRARY NOTWITHSTANDING."
	ERCH JUDGE, COM-
*	MISSIONER, MASTER, AND/OR REFEREE HAS AFFIRMED
	ANDIOR SWORN TO SUPPORT THE UNITED STATES CONSTITU-
<u>.</u>	TION RS APART OF THEIR CATH OF OFFICE, THAT BE
	ING SAID, UNDER ARTICLE TIT SECTION 2 WITHIN THE
	AFOREMENTIONED CONSTITUTION, STATES
	"THE JUDICIAL
	POWER SHALL EXTEND TO ALL CASES IN [COMMON] LAW
	AND EQUITY , , TO ALL CASES OF ADMIRALTY AND MARI-
	TIME JURISDICTION ,,, "
- -	NOWHERE WITHIN THEF SECTION,
·	NOR WITHIN ITS ENTIRETY DOES IT MENTION PNYTHING
	ABOUT A STATUTORY JURISDICTION, THAT ALONE IN AND OF
	TISELF PROVES THAT SAID INDIVIDUAL IS KNOWINGLY
·	OPERATING UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JURISDICTION
	WHAT MAKES IT FILLEGAL IS IF THIS HYPOTHETICAL
·	(STPIUTORY) JURISDICTION, AND THE SAID INDIVIDUAL
	NAMED HEREIN IS ISNOWINGLY, VOLUNTARILY, INTENTIONALLY,
	AND INTELLIGENTLY OPERATING UNDER THE GUISE OF
	SOME OTHER JURISDICTION, WITHIN THE REGREMENTIGNED
<u></u>	SECTION OF THE PBOVE PRETICLE,
5	4

2		
		•
	LETS SAY FOR EXAMPLE ADM.	IRALTY I MARITIME
	JURISPICTION, THAT WOULD CONSTITU	UTE ERAUD ON
	MULTIPLE LEVELS, WOULD IT NOT ? TO	NAME A FEW
	FRAUD IN THE INDUCEMENT, FRAU	DULENT CONCEAL
	MENT ANDIGR HIDDEN FRAUD	·
	$\overline{I}_{i}$	
	INOWINCLY, KCLUNTARILY, AN	P INTENTION PLLY
	DO PEFIRMO UNDER PUNPLEV OF PERS	TURY AND UPON MY
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	OWN LIPBILITY. THEF IN FOREGOING	IS TRUE, CARRECT,
	NOT MISLEADING, AND COMPLETE TO	THE BEST OF MY
	ISNOWLEDGE, I HEREIN PND HEREBY	RESERVE PLL MY
	RICHTS PER UNIFORM COMMERCIAL	(ODE (U(C) 1-308;
		SIGNED
	THIS DAY OF	
· · ·	· ·	
		·
		· · ·
	ALL RICHTS RESERVE	
	UPP 1-395	
		۲
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
·		
	5	

## 2018-297

	TO WHOMEVER THITS MAY CONCERN. I STUD MUSCLE
	TO WHOMEVER THIS MAY CONCERN. I FIND MYSELF
	FILING ANDIOR LODGING THIS JUDICIAL COMPLAINT AGAIN
	ST JUDGE, COMMISSIONER, MRSTER, ANDIOR REFEREE
	AFTER IT WAS BROUGHT TO MY ATTENTION THAT THE
	IN FIND FOR THE COUNTY OF
	DOESN'T OPERATE UNDER THE COMPON LAW BUT RATHER
	UNPER STRIUTORY LOW, UNDER STRIUTORY JURISDICTION, A JURIS-
	DICTION THAT I HAVE NEVER HEARD OF AND ONE THAT I HAVE
	YET TO FIND ON GOOGLE, IN THE BLACK'S LAW DISTIGNARY YTH
· .	STH, GTH FNDIGR 9TH EDITION(S), RESPECTFULLY, OR IN EITHER.
	THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, OR THE PRIZONA CONSTITUTION
· ·	FOR THAT MATTER.
	IF SPID JUDCE COMMISSIONER MASTER, AND
	OR REFEREE 15 SECRETLY GEERBIING IN A JURISDICTION
	NOT SPACTIONED BY THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, IT IS
	NOT ONLY ILLEGAL BUT IT'S ALSO UNCONSTITUTIONAL UNPER
	ARIZONA CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 1.1 COMPLIANCE
	WITH THE LAW
	"
	4
	UNDER ARTICLE VI CLOUSE 2
	WITHIN THE UNITED STRIES CONSILIUTION STRIES
	" THE S
	SOMSTITUTION, PAND THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES SHALL BE
	THE SUPREME LEW OF THE LEND, AND THE JUDGES [COMMIS-
	STONERS, MASTERS, ANDIOR REFEREEST IN EVERY STATE SHALL
	BE BOUND THEREBY, PNY THING IN THE CONSTITUTION OR LAWS
	3

· · · · ·

• , •	
	OF PNY STATE TO THE CONTRARY NOTWITHSTANDINC."
	ERCH
-	
	JUDGE, COMMISSIONER, MASTER, ANDIOR REFEREE, HAS AF-
	FIRMED ANDIOR SWORN TO SUPPORT THE UNITED STATES CON-
·	STITUTION AS APPART OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE, THAT BEING
	SAID, UNDER ARTICLE THE SECTION & WITHIN THE PEOREMENTION-
	ED CONSTITUTION, STRIES,,
	" THE JUDICIAL POWER SHALL EXTEND
	TO PLL CRSES IN ECOMMON ] AND EQUITY, TO PLL CRSES OF
	ADMIRALLY AND MARITIME JURISDICIJON
	NOWHERE WITHIN
	THAT SECTION, NOR WITHIN ITS ENTIRETY DOES IT MENTION MY
	THING ABOUT A STATUTORY JURISDICTION, THEF ALONE IN
	AND OF JISELF PROVES THIAT SAID INDIVIDUAL IS KNOWINGLY
	OPERATING UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL JURISPICTION
	WHAT MAKES IT ILLEGAL. IS IF THIS HYPOTHETICAL (STATU-
	TORY) JURISDICTION, AND SAID INDIVIDUAL NAMED HEREIN
	LS KNOWINGLY, VOLUNTARILY, INTENTIONALLY, AND INTELLIGENTLY
	OPERIFITING UNDER THE GUISE OF SAME ATHER JURISDICTION,
	WITHEN THE AFOREMENTIONED SECTION OF THE ABOVE
	ABTICLE.
	LETS SAY FOR EXAMPLE ADMIRALIN/ MARITIME
	JURISPICTION, THET WOULD CONSTITUTION FRAUD ON MULTIPLE
	LEVELS, WOULD IT NOT ? TO NAME A FEW FRAUD IN THE IN-
	DUCEMENT, FROUDULENT CONSERLMENT, ANDIOR HEDDEN FRAUD
	4

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE REMAINDER OF THE COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER, PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST IN WRITING TO THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND REFERENCE THE COMMISSION CASE NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.