## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-300

Judge:

Complainant:

## ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly disposed of his bond money. The complainant also alleged a superior court judge and a pro tem superior court judge had a conflict of interest on his cases.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: February 6, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 6, 2019.

	PREASE RESPOND ASAP	
		Pa lof 4 Comp
	2	018-300
	to whom IT MAY CONCERN,	
	MY NAME IG	, IAM
	CURRENTLY IN CUSTODY AT	, COUNTYOF
	ARIZONA, DATE OF BI	
	ILL BE BRIEF AS I AM SURE	
	TS BUSY, ALL STATEMENTS JAM CLAIM	
	THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE TRUE, AS	
	BASED OF FACTUAL EVENTS, I HAVE NO	
	CONVICTIONS NOR CRIMINIAL RECORD	
	TAM NOT BEING TREATED FAIRLY I	
	WHICH THE STATE HAS ALLEDGED AG	
	THE COURTS AND THE STATES PROC	
	ACTIONS, HAS CAUSED PREJUDICE FOR MYSELF, MY FAMILY, V	i i
	MY FINACIAL RESOURCES, HAS SUBJECT IN	
	OBLOQUY, AS WELL TO CREATE ANXIETY AS	
	DEPPRESTON, WHICH HAVE BEEN GOING ]	
	FOR, THIS HAS CAUSED HIE TO BE E	
	MY HOME, AND NOW CAUSED ME TO LOO	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	OF WHICH PROVEDED OUR COMM	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A MULH NEEDED SEPUTLE. TO VIOLATE	*
	RIGHTS TO DUE PROCESS AND FUNDAMI	`
	U.S. CONST. 4++ AND 14+ AMENDMENTS; AR	
	AND 11. AD WELL AS U.S. CONST 5th AND 14+	
	AS WELL AS, ARTICAL Z, SECTIONS, 4 AND 21	
	CONSTITUTION, REFRENCE CASE STATEOF	
	NS,	, AS
	TOGATH TACTICAL ADVANTAGE. OR I GANAL	
	WHICH HAS NEUER HA	
1		

here.

2018 300

	2018   300
INDACTION OF MOVEMENT S.	TNCE
DPS OFFICER	, ID ,
ONLY TO CREP	TE ADVANTAGE FOR
OBVIOUS REASONS, THE STA	TE HAS CHARGED ME
WITH AGGREVATED ASSUAL	T AS WELL AS DESORDLEY
CONDUCT WITHA WEAPON,	- 
STATE ISSUED A WARRENT	ON OR ABOUT THE
OF WHICH	I WAS ARRESTED
IN I Pos	TED
BOND ON MY BEHALF TO BE	RELEASED TO ME, WHICH
ON OR ABOUT	HONARABLE
JUDGE	RELEASED SAID BOND
MONEY UNLAW FULLY TO	, WHO
HAD NO RIGHTS TO MY WON	JEY. AS SHE WAS NOT
SWORN IN TOTESTIFY TO T	HE BEST OF MY KNOWLERGE,
AS WELL AS I WAS JAILED	AND UNAWAPE OF
WHAT OR WHEN THE COURT	DATE HAD BEEN GET
AG NO NOTIFICATION WAS	GIVEN TOME INATIMELYMANNER NOR
CURRENT ATTORNEY	whots
COURT APPOINTED, NOT TO ME	ENTION BETNG JATLED
I WAS UNABLE TO DEFEN	JO MYSELF OTHER
THAN RELIEPT WHICH CO	OURT HAD STATEING
CLEARLY BOND OF	WAS POSTED BY
ME TO BE RELEASED TO M	E, DISPITE THIS FACT
JUDGE RELEASE	ED MY MONEY TO,
ATTH	ATTIME, AS TAM
DEALING WITH MY ANXIE	TY AND FELT OVER WHELDHED
AS WELL, ALSO I AM BEI	TWG HELD ON ANOTHER
CASE WHICH IS	WHICH I HAVE

ENTERED A NOT GUILTY PLEA, I WAS HOURED ON ALL THE ALLIGATIONS WHICH THE STATE CLAIMS AGAINST ME, AS WELL AS HOLD AS I WASON PRE TRAFL SERVICE AT THAT TIME. I HADA MEDICAL USE CARD FOR MARIJUANA WHICH EXPIRED + I HAVE RENEWED MY CARD AS DUE TO THE CHARGES AGATWST ME, CAUSEFNER ME TO BE EVECTED WHITLE CAUSED FINANCIAL BEFNG JAILED . STRESS AND SEPERATION OF MY , AND AND CAUSED JESUES FOR MY BUSINESS WHICH CAUSED AN IMPACT ON FINANCIALY ASWELL, IAM ASKING FOR YOUR SERVICE TO LOOK INTO THIS MATTER, AS THE COMMUNITY WE LIVE ARIZONA, COUNTY OF IN <u>±5</u> SMALL AND IFEEL ALTHOUGH THE COURTS PROLESS IN MANY CHEES SEEM ETHICAL, IT IS THE METHOD JAM QUESTIONING, AS IFEEL THE COURT USES THE IGNORANCE OF THE COMMUNITY TO ITS ADVANTAGE TO PLEA AND PROLICUTE MOST CASES WHICH MY BE LESS OR DISMISSED IN A LARGER COMMUNITY WHICH THE COURTS ARE MORE FOR LACK OF BETTER TERM POLICED BY THE JUSTICE ACTION CENTER, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE NOT OFFERED FROM ! COUNTY COURTS AND #F SO NOT OFFERED , AND #F SO WOULDNT BEINFAITELY USED AS THE JUDGES

794014

PROSECUTORS, PUBLER DEFENDES, POLICE OFFICER,	
COMMONLY MENGLE AS TO EAT LUNCH TOGETHER ON	
A REGULAR BASTS, ALGO I WOULD LIKE TO BRING	
TO YOUR ATTENTION THAT TO BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE	
JUDGE AND JUDGE	
TOGETHER WHICH WERE	
PREVIOUSLY HIRED TO DEFEND AGAINST ME	
IN CASE OF CUSTODY BETWEEN	
WHICH MYSELF AND HER HAVE CHILD IN COMMON.	
AS WELL I FILED A COMPIBIANT AGAINST ATTOURNE	
AT THE TIME NOW THE HONDRABLE JUDGE	_
JUDGE HAS FILED FOR JUDGE	
DUE TO RESENTLY BECAME IL AS I HAVE	
ALLOWED JUDGE TO PEOLEED IN MANY	
CASES, AGWELL AS THE CURRENT, ALTHOUGH I FELT	
HELD A LEGG GERTOUS IN NATURE, AS MY CASE HAS	
TAKEN A MORE SERIOUS STAND POINT IFEELAT	
BEET CREATES A REASONABLE CONFLECT OF INTREST	
ON MY BEHALF. ID LIKETO THANKYON FOR YOUR	
TIME READING MY LETTER AND ASK FOR YOUR	
ATTENTION AND SERVICE IN MY CASE. AS I FEEL	
MY STATEMENTS TO BETEVE AND REQUIRE ACTION.	
MY ATTOURNEY HAS ENTERED AN UNDUE DE LAY IN	
CASE NUMBER. WATCH GLEARLY STATES MY	
RIGTS BEING VIOLATED, I FEEL MY CONCERNS ARE TO	
BE CONSEDERED NOW FOR MYSELF AS WELL AS OTHERS	
AND FOSSI DILY IN FUTURE CASES, AGTHE COVET DOES USE THE JONDEAN	KE_
OF THELAW TO ITS ADVANTAGE, THANKLY DATED	
• • • • •	