

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-301

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner was biased against him, violated his constitutional rights, and made improper evidentiary rulings in his criminal case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: February 6, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 6, 2019.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona

Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-307

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

A) A.R.S. § 38-231 & 28-232 I BELIEVE IS BEING VIOLATED
BY THE ABOVE MENTIONED JUDGE, WHICH MAKES MY SENTENCE
ILLEGAL. U.S. CONST. ART 6, D. 3. STATES THAT JUDICIAL OFFICERS
BOTH OF THE UNITED STATES SHALL BE BOUND BY OATH OF
AFFIRMATION TO SUPPORT THIS CONSTITUTION.
IS IN VIOLATION OF THESE AMENDMENTS AND HAD "JURISDICTION
TO RULE IN MY CASE, STATE

B) JUDGE VIOLATED MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS;
FIFTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS, CONCERNING DISCLOSURE
OF EVIDENCE WHERE MY WITNESS WAS CONCERNED.

C) DUE PROCESS REQUIRES THAT A JUDGE POSSESS NEITHER
ACTUAL NOR APPARENT BIAS. IN THIS CASE THERE
WERE MANY ISSUES CONCERNING THE WITNESS BUT
JUDGE KNOWINGLY NEVER "THE WITNESS
OPENING THE DOOR TO PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT, AND
INEFFECTIVE COUNSEL.

D) JUDGE ALSO ALLOWED THE CHAIN OF CUSTODY
TO BE BROKEN CONCERNING EVIDENCE; ie: BLOOD WORK
THE held THAT
THE CONSTITUTION REQUIRES THE STATE TO PRESERVE EVIDENCE
IN

CONTINUED FROM Pg 1

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

IN THE SUSPECTS defense. Such evidence IS VITAL
TO THIS CASE.