

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 18-310

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him and improperly ordered him to pay child support arrears.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: February 13, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 13, 2019.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY****2018-310****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On the day of a \_\_\_\_\_ at a hearing concerning alleged child support arrears that \_\_\_\_\_ had felt I owed her after she had spent all of the years in question in an \_\_\_\_\_ while I had raised the \_\_\_\_\_ children in question in my home in a \_\_\_\_\_ unto adulthood in \_\_\_\_\_ for \_\_\_\_\_ and for \_\_\_\_\_ I had expressed my concerns to my counsel. \_\_\_\_\_ that I had recognized Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ to be an acquaintance \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_\_ had run for several \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_\_ County during the time I had been married to \_\_\_\_\_ her daughter, and I had recognized Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ name as someone I had met during some \_\_\_\_\_ fund raisers for \_\_\_\_\_ during those years) and I had asked him his opinion in the matter. Mr. \_\_\_\_\_ expressed at that time that the rules of judicial conduct prohibited Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ from using her relationship with \_\_\_\_\_ as any foundation for her final order, and that I had nothing to worry about because I had provided to that court all of the proof needed to show that the children had lived with me from \_\_\_\_\_ for \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ for \_\_\_\_\_ until their respective ages of adulthood in \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_

During the hearing however, \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ had presented a letter to the court, alleging that the letter had been written by my daughter, \_\_\_\_\_ and claiming that she and her \_\_\_\_\_ had spent every \_\_\_\_\_ between \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ with their grandmother in \_\_\_\_\_ even though their mother was in prison in \_\_\_\_\_ County during most all of those years. My counsel, \_\_\_\_\_ had immediately objected to the introduction of the letter into evidence, as doing so would violate my rights of discovery because we had not had prior opportunity to read the letter and provide witness rebuttal to its contents. But Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ had allowed the letter, even after a \_\_\_\_\_ objection from my counsel stating again the violation of discovery, then proceeded to make her decision based on that letter while ignoring the obvious continuity of school records and, unfortunately a few \_\_\_\_\_ police records gathered by the children, which had shown without a doubt that the children had lived with me continually throughout the years in question and had NEVER spent \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_\_ as the letter allegedly written by \_\_\_\_\_ had contended... Please find attached a recent notarized letter from \_\_\_\_\_ denying that she had ever written the contents of that letter, but had remembered being pressured under threat by her mother and grandmother to sign " \_\_\_\_\_ during a brief visit to \_\_\_\_\_ sometime in late \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ final order in the matter was self-contradicting on many levels, as though her purpose was some sort of vindication rather than fairness under the law, as her final order had somehow changed the conditions of my divorce agreement with \_\_\_\_\_ from \_\_\_\_\_ to not only include that I now owed my back child support for the \_\_\_\_\_ spoken about in that letter, including that I somehow owed \_\_\_\_\_ of child support for \_\_\_\_\_ for \_\_\_\_\_ after he had become an adult in \_\_\_\_\_ but also, that I was charged in the order for \_\_\_\_\_ arrears that all the information submitted to Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ court proved that \_\_\_\_\_ had fraudulently claimed while the children lived with me in a different state, even though the Commissioner herself had credited me with the months (proven by school records) the \_\_\_\_\_ benefits were fraudulently claimed in \_\_\_\_\_

I believe, not only from personal experience but also from some research I've done in recent months, that Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ not only blindly trampled all over my rights because of her personal relationship with the petitioner and her mother; but also, because \_\_\_\_\_ obviously uses personal bias against men in her decisions. And I say this because, when speaking with several attorneys who practice law in \_\_\_\_\_ once the name of \_\_\_\_\_ is mentioned many have responded without any solicitation from me; "

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

--

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

So, if these attorneys would respond in such a way by my simply mentioning the name of \_\_\_\_\_ one of them even being a \_\_\_\_\_ attorney, it would definitely indicate that what I had experienced at the hands of this Commissioner was beyond the law and proves the personal bias and collusion I am complaining about to this Commission on Judicial Conduct.

I still have all of the documentation proving that \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ had lived with me, their father, throughout the years \_\_\_\_\_ until their respective adulthoods. And also that their mother, \_\_\_\_\_ (a.k.a \_\_\_\_\_) had spent the majority of those years in an \_\_\_\_\_ and these documents are available upon request as they number well over \_\_\_\_\_ documents. These documents prove, without a doubt, that the children in question had lived with me, even in their own words as attached documents will show, and had NEVER spent any \_\_\_\_\_ with their grandmother in \_\_\_\_\_ as the letter irresponsibly accepted in violation of the process of discovery that \_\_\_\_\_ had allowed as the single factor supporting her order had fraudulently contended. In fact, \_\_\_\_\_ had attempted the same thing after she got \_\_\_\_\_, in all of the \_\_\_\_\_ states I had ever lived with the children during \_\_\_\_\_ until \_\_\_\_\_ prior to finally having her petition accepted by that particular court, only to be rejected by those \_\_\_\_\_ states after I had shown documentation that the children had lived with me while she was in prison, thereby declaring that she did not have a legitimate request for child support arrears according to those states. So it makes a very suspicious scenario that courts in \_\_\_\_\_ states would deny \_\_\_\_\_ obviously fraudulent requests for order claiming child support arrears, but then the court that \_\_\_\_\_ has a personal relationship with, would immediately approve the same obviously fraudulent claims and \_\_\_\_\_ the respondent no matter HOW much he had proven himself the custodial parent throughout those years in question, while even completely ignoring a change of custody document \_\_\_\_\_ had signed when she was sent to prison in the process (also attached). This obvious abuse of her position on the bench by \_\_\_\_\_ has subsequently caused me \_\_\_\_\_ dollars and countless hours over the past \_\_\_\_\_ years, trying to ward off wage garnishments, bank account attachments, and now Social Security income garnishments, and the Commissioner should be severely reprimanded for violating the responsibilities entrusted to her position to the fullest extent of the law. It is more than obvious, when considering all the facts, that Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ had violated my rights and had colluded with the petitioner in order to forward a biased and predetermined conclusion that I was a " \_\_\_\_\_ who deserved punishment, which then ended up being nothing more than finally granting \_\_\_\_\_ the alimony she had coveted but had been denied in the divorce decree, while having also received well over \_\_\_\_\_ from my pensions of which \_\_\_\_\_ payments were lump sums of \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_

**Attachments:**

1. Notarized letter from \_\_\_\_\_ denying she had ever written the letter, \_\_\_\_\_ nor knew its contents, which was submitted in the \_\_\_\_\_ hearing and violating rules of discovery.
2. Notarized letter from \_\_\_\_\_ acknowledging that he had lived with me since \_\_\_\_\_ even before the divorce, and all years thereafter until adulthood, having NEVER spent a \_\_\_\_\_ with his grandmother in \_\_\_\_\_ as the fraudulent letter had contended.
3. Notarized letter from \_\_\_\_\_ the \_\_\_\_\_ of the \_\_\_\_\_ who had stayed with his dad and witnessed the return home of his \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ to be raised by their father while their mother was in prison.
4. Notarized document signed in \_\_\_\_\_ by \_\_\_\_\_ assigning custody of \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ to me.