State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-314
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace engaged in improper demeanor, improperly required him to appear in person, and was not accommodating his disabilities.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: February 13, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 13, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-314

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
On I appeared before Judge on an alleged. The judge asked how does the defendant plead and I asked the judge, what would the defendant be pleading to as there is no proper charging instrument. The judge then began voicing the nonresponsive reply as to the charges that were listed in the citation. I ask the judge what type of law will this matter proceed under and I was told "
Upon my initial contact with Judge he could visually see my handicapped and infirmities (ang suffer mental and physical disorders due to my and) and allowed for phone conferences. However, upon the insistance of the attorney for the palintiff, the judge did a complete turnabout and expressed to me that I have to meet face to face with the Prosecutor for no legal or lawful reason, but for the purpose that, "
I was never informed although I have made several requests as to the " " of the crime and have been only afforded the nonresponsive reply as to the cause of the crime. Additionally, I have received several unrequested correspondences threatening to take my most valuable asset, my FREEDOM, if I do not show up for a face to face conference on a citation.
Judge has several times asked me not to interrupt him even when he says things or and conversely, when I speak, the judge has repeatedly interrupted me and this is not fair nor proprietous.
This judge gives the impression, and I have expressed to him with no change in behavior, that he is a ministerial clerk for the prosecutor. His demeanor and speech with her is as though she is his boss or leastly his equal. However, when Judge speaks with me it is as though I am his child, his slave or his subject, as though I am something less than a On the last phone conference, He and the Prosecutor where comparing inteneraries to schedule another conference and bothered not at all as to my life and schedule and my availability.
It is evident by the judge being involved in the last conference and not the previous — that the judge and the prosecutor are conferring with each other and without me or my input because there was notice of nor any motion put forth to have the Judge participate in the conferring process. Additionally, when the ludge told me the phone conference had ended, I did not immmediately hang up the phone and — on with the matter.
I have property that is being unlawfully withheld from me under the the actions of these proceedings, and Judge refuses to address that. It is my firm belief that Judge and the Prosecutor are engaged in conspiritorial collusion in order to retain and permanently deprive me of my property under some technicality or some rule of criminal procedures that I do not follow erroneously.

CONFIDENTIAL				
Arizona	Commission on Judicial Conduct			
1501 W.	Washington Street, Suite 229			
	Arizona 85007			

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				
	i			

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: J	Judge's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the s	ame size to file a complaint. Describe in your own	
words what you believe the judge did that constitute	s judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the	
names, dates, times, and places that will help the commi	ssion understand your concerns. Additional pages may	
be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper		
only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.		

Throughout the Interactions I have had with the judge, he has mentioned " " on several ocassions but not once has he ever mentioned Constitution law, the highest law of the land and the law that he has taken an Oath to defend and uphold. That coupled with his mention of law leaves me really scared and confused as to what sort of tribunal shall really be enacted in this instance.

Inasmuch, I do not accept nor consent to his public declaration to be fair and unbiased and impartial in his dealing with me. He has clearly demonstrated a propensity to law other than that of the common/constitutional nature of which I understand.

By: