State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-316

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace encouraged the community to engage in violence against her and that he was responsible for dissemination of deceiving or misleading information about her. The complainant also requested a copy of his response in this matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a). The commission also denied the complainant's request for a copy of the judicial officer's response, pursuant to Rule 9(c)(1).

Commission member Roger D. Barton did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: January 29, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 29, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-316

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:			Judge's N	ame:		
Instructions: Use words what you l names, dates, time be attached along only, and keep a co	e this form or pl: believe the judge s, and places that with copies (not or py of the complain	ain paper of did that co will help th iginals) of re t for your re	of the same size onstitutes judicia le commission un elevant court doce ecords.	to file a compla l misconduct. Be derstand your con uments. Please co	specific and acerns. Additio omplete one si	nal pages may de of the paper
Beginning on position of other sources of p implicit invitation	ublic media to	thereinafte	promotes throu	in his effo gh signs, literatu statement,	BC, duvoitioui	to the nents and has the
The term	has specific me	eaning to		Under	the vernacula	ar, it is known
to mean engaging one		Merriam Webster's Dictionary				
The vert	is an action ve	rb that				
Needless to say f in fear of persona a direct bait tc " Tragically, This repret of multiple state	ally being intrusion on my po nensible and viola	By e felt it was ersonal righ	encouraging peo It was all too They were als his right and du ht of seclusion ar	ple to buily me, recent that the co o encourage to " ty to follow throug	ommunity was h gh with the po g me to be th	litical vitriolic
This conduct of		-				suspensoin

and removal from office.

This conduct appears to be in violation of the following Code of Judicial Conduct Rules 1.2, 4.2(A)(1), 4.2 (A)(3), 4.3(A). It is further evidence of conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice that brings the judicial office in disrepute, a violation of Article 6.1, Section 4, of the Arizona Constitution.