## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-326
Judge:	
Complainant:	

## **ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge unjustifiably found her in contempt and incarcerated her.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Gus Aragón did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: February 20, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 20, 2019.

I affirm, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the allegations contained in the attached complaint are true.

rmation and the 2018-326

Date

## CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE		
Name:	Judge's Name:	
you bel and pla copies	actions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what lieve the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, aces that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of applaint for your records.	
1. 2.	apprised of being in contempt of court until I arrived that day at	
	him of the progress I had made between myself and the mortgage company, and as well to inform him that was no longer required, due to me being able to assume/refinance the home per the state court order.	
3.	However, when I arrived, Judge immediately went on the attack and asked if I informed the of that I was not selling my home. I answered to the judge in kind, that I did speak to the and made him aware that I had everything working with the mortgage company and provided him with the number of the mortgage company, thus all I had to do was inform Judge that the services were no longer required.	
4.	However, Judge said that I was in contempt of court. I was shocked and stunned- and then he said you are going to jail for This all happened in about flat. I informed the Judge that I had no prior knowledge of this hearing, that I was not served in a proper manner, thus I didn't know I was in contempt of anything. He promptly replied, "	
5.	So that was it and pink handcuffs came at me. I was terrified and just remember saying, I have animals at my home- that I just came to show you documentation. I have never been so humiliated, and scared in my life. I have never been behind any bars. I am vears of age, a and a of the United States	
6.	When I was released, I went home and found that the locks were changed and my furniture had been moved to storage.	

- 7. I have witnessed nothing but terror, financial destruction, first by the ex-spouse then by Judge and the I have done nothing to deserve this type of treatment.
- 8. Judge has completely acted outside the color of law. I researched the A.R.S. rules
- 9. As it relates to Contempt Rule 92a- It states the rule governs civil contempt proceedings in all matters related to family law cases. The use of civil contempt sanctions under this rule shall be limited to compelling compliance with a court order or compensating a movant for losses sustained because of a contemnor's failure to comply with a court order."

"The applicability of this rule and the sanctions provided for herein shall be in addition to those procedures and sanctions set forth for a child support arrest in Title 25 A.R.S.

- 10. This rule also goes on to state in sections that there was an order to this whole procedure. I was never provided this- thus this violates my civil rights- Judge allowed me no due process- as well as the proof of service was inadequate.
- 11. Again, the judge acted outside the color of law. There was no hearing, to at least admonish me about anything- also it stays in section and the only number of hours that are spoke of are hours and that is after deferring incarceration. Nowhere is there any documentation remotely speaks of hours. Again, judge acted outside the color of law. This matter has nothing to do with criminal activity- even criminals get better treatment.
- 12. I will be filing other actions against Judge -- in another court. His actions were reprehensible-all over my HOME.
- 13. He has deprived me of my right to life, my right to my homes, my right to liberty. He needs to be removed immediately.

- 14. Or at the very least please stop the illegal sale of my home, to which all that was ever needed if judge would have acted within the color of law and listened to the other side- who is me-who has not gotten to fully speak before him- due to his threating tone through his orders to me-which all have been denied- all judge would have heard from me- is that all that was or is needed is an executed quit claim deed from the former spouse-so I can move on and proceed with abiding by the divorce decree.
- 15. Judge needed to hear from me that it was the former spouse who has always been in contempt of court- since when he filed for divorce, filed for bankruptcy and never paid a dime toward the property- or spousal maintenance when he was ordered to.

- 16. Judge can require him to sign a quit claim deed- but instead he has done nothing- but pushing to have my home sold- and this ex-spouse being unjust able enriched- when he is not entitled to the amount of money that is stated in the divorce decree that needs to be modified.
- 17. Before closing, judge made a demeaning remark, the and only time that I have been before him-and that was that we were " " for each other. How dare this Judge say that about me or the ex-spouse. I speak for myself, and that I am a woman, who reads and lives the word daily. I know that the court is not where I want or asked to be nor is it where Jesus wants us to be. should not sue one another in court- according to the word- to settle it outside of the court. I have done nothing but that-however if a person you once knew for years has demonic spirits living within- there is nothing much more I can do- is try to speak truth and stand up for justice and come out victorious the way God intends.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.