State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-327
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge violated his due process rights in a family law matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Gus Aragón did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: February 20, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 20, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL

decisions culminating in a decision to sell the

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-327

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the judge did that con names, dates, times, and places that will help the be attached along with copies (not originals) of rel-	the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own istitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may evant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper ords.
be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records. legal requirement of Due Process has not been achieved on the Family Law Bench of the rt because of things Judge has (not) done.	
My complaint is presented via analogy with the	profession since I have no legal qualifications. The analogy is:
to compliance with law under the United	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Thus demonstrating compliance, respectively, stakeholders can remain confident the Unites S	is a necessary means to substantiate that citizens and tates will remain free, orderly, peaceful and prosperous.
law, of Due Process. A person can incur ha compromised. This offends the rule of law beca	arm, a Due Process violation, when judicial process is ause opinion has been used to determine the threshold of
the form of a contract, for their products. A cu	se to demonstrate compliance with legal requirements, in istomer can incur harm when opinion has been used to alidated, or significant decision made, in a manner that ader contract.
to under the rule of people. That requires written the responsible party accountable. There is no	ons, is to satisfy the law under the rule of law as opposed of evidence to substantiate and, then, either reward or hold number of personal opinions that could collectively serve a have authority approaching that of codified law.
American way of life. It would allow rhetoric of the in the United States. It would tarnish the hopes to	espective processes, should that become standard in mocking everything that is good, right and true in the he day to trump the rule of law and undermine prosperity that citizens may have in the American Dream. He significant of not carpathy startically savare constguences.
Consider an example from the engineering part of the an US from so it's in the best interests of the US for th	halogy. The Standard is designed to the

to the customer. The most mature process discipline is appropriate.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

ŀ	OR O	OFFI	CE U	SE O	VLY	
	**********				************	
1						

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

	Name:	Judge's Name:
	words what you believe the judge did that constit names, dates, times, and places that will help the con	e same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own utes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the amission understand your concerns. Additional pages may not court documents. Please complete one side of the paper
multiple mmatu reflecte obtain	e recognized experts [Exhibit]. No reasonable ure device; it was approximately years old. Due I sed my perceived competency in the organization. It was a contract for the when fire contracts for the entire program. Subsequ	Diligence was absent but the project was assigned to me and vas retaliation. I for my objections to false claims, made to unding was tight, in year. That contract was lost as well.
arrange 1.	Judicial Process was not respected.	ion 9 nomanal factions, without aroun assemination
3. Thus m	y Due Process rights were violated.	afterence to any statistic, as the basis for the orders

	Unfair Action	Evidence Source
1	Used evidence, based solely on opinion, to rule against me	Items pelow
2	Cross examination of my wife, regarding matters noted herein, was circumvented. I planned to inquire about the following events:	See events listed in Exhibit Compare Minute Entries per Exhibits
3	Granted an order of protection for my	Case (dismissed) Case = 305 startiation via
4	Granted an order of protection for my	Case (dismissed) Case - substantiation via Case (dismissed) Case (dismissed) Case - Seme substantiation Letter from based solely on opinion
5	Granted opposing counsel's request for an order of protection for my and my	Case , and cumulative evidence above
6	Granted opposing counsel's request to order	Case and cumulative evidence above
7	Granted opposing counsel's request to order	Case and cumulative evidence above

years prior, were obviously false claims.

2 I alleged potential false claim per response was hostile. The

Act in a different context of the program. The company later concurred with my technical points.

¹ False claims I had alleged for the

³ My doctor had made two medical accommodation requests that were not answered. The company demanded, in a hostile manner, I see a they had selected years later.

⁴ Complete substantiation is beyond the information herein. But for one example, see Event per Exhibit