State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-329

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace was responsible for an inaccurate, misleading and inappropriate campaign webpage.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the Commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the Commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Still, the Commission approved sending the judge a private advisory letter reminding him to be mindful of how the public may perceive his campaign material and to consider his ongoing duty to maintain the dignity and integrity of the court. The Complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: March 29, 2019

FOR THE COMMISSION

<u>/s/ Diane M. Johnsen</u> Hon. Diane M. Johnsen Commission Vice-Chair

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 29, 2019.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-329

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's Name: Name: Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records. in Judicial Precinct Justice of the Peace is running for opponent Judae is the and the as documented by the which has the ID Number is enclosed as attachment A and consists of pages. The has a website called Documentation of that ownership is provided in attachment B. page of shows a menu of options for further information. One of these options is entitled " ' This is documented as attachment C, and is the option with The words below that heading include the ' The " ' refers to the received from the referred to in the , or the in the other options. While the facts of the have nothing to do with I take greater issue with the fact advises the public that that Judge 1 It is clear that our system of criminal justice and our system for adjusting judicial condut have moved well away from For Judge who totally to suggest that misogvenistic, demeaning, completely oblivious of the facts of the case, and inappropriate. It also disparages the work of the Commission on Judicial Conduct. is responsible for reviewing and approving the content of all campaign Under Rule 4.2(3) Judge statements for efforts. I believe that in advising the public that the Supreme Court and/or the Commission on Judical Conduct " is not acting in a manner consistent that with the integrity of the judiciary in violation of Rule 4.2(1). In addition seems to be in violation of Rule distributing information about 4.3(A) insofar as which would be deceiving and also seems to be in violation of Rule 4.3(I) as the statement that misleading to a reasonable person. is a misleading statement about personal background and

history.

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's Name: Name: Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records. to the position of Justice of the Peace. Judge was At that time campaign literature documented that n 1. That literature is included as attachement D. H. Judge current campaign literature states that is included as attachment E. The fact is that Judge has a total of approximately , and having been until the present.

Rule 4.3(A) states that a candidate for judicial office shall not publish information that would be misleading to a reasonable person. Judge publication stating that is a fiction, and appears to be in violation of Rule4.3(A). Also that fiction appears to be in violation of Rule 4.3 (F) as misrepresenting the facts about the judicial candidate.

ofa

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

The current campaign literature (attachment D) from Judge states that "

." The image that Judge portrays is a , with a

While Judgemay certainly publish aofyetappears to be violative ofRule 4.3(A) by stating that"""The campaign literature from Judgestating thatis a completefiction, emphasized byand is misleading to a reasonable person.The fact is that US citizensmay register to vote with any or noand there are no restrictions in place on how manytimes an individual

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: ______ Judge's Name: _______ Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

In a	sent by the	of which Judge		
		there is a statement that	was "	
		" This is .	" This is Attachment F. It further states that	

In most recent disciplinary action which is it was pointed out that had prior actions and nade a total of That is than the actions erroneously referred to in Judge Judge statements would be deceiving and misleading to a reasonable person, and as such appear to be in violation of Rule 4.2(1) and 4.3(A).

other items of concern with this are that in the bullet points in case is noted which would mislead a reasonable person who did not carefully namely : review In addition. in the Archives of the Commission, was S not referred to in the list of by the Commission, and may not be factually correct. The last item of concern pertains to case referred to by Judge in which made a clear legal error in disregarding the law. That case is included in the Archives but the names are redacted, and the judge and complainant are not named. If Judge was privy to restricted information, it would appear to be a violation of judicial conduct for to reveal that information. And if the case is not about then it would be another violation of Rule 4.3(A).

It might be of interest to the Commission that of the listed above from Judge campaign advertisement, personally brought of them before the commission, namely and eferences to If Judae were in which would allow the to refer to this decision, that would make The statement on of the " should prevent decision which is " in the eves of the voters. Judge from But campaign, which is built upor disgualification is based upon to the Commission. If there is a Rule which states that the commission's orders may not be used as a basis to disgualify a judge, then Judge has clearly broken that Rule.