#### State of Arizona

#### COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-339						
Judge:						
Complainant:						

#### **ORDER**

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace did not administer an oath in a protective order proceeding. The complainant further alleged his right to free speech was violated by the issuance of a protective order.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the Commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the Commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The Commission approved sending the judge an advisory letter reminding him to ensure all parties and other witnesses are placed under oath before they provide testimony in order to comply with Rules 1.1 and 1.2. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: March 29, 2019

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Diane M. Johnsen Hon. Diane M. Johnsen Commission Vice-Chair

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 29, 2019.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

# CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix. Arizona 85007

# FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-339

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

•	conduct. Be specific and list all of the and your concerns. Additional pages may as. Please complete one side of the paper.  Judge issuing an as filed by the Plaintiff, Hon. Judge never the and Mr. Defendant in case attorney. (seated f Plaintiff), and sworn-in no one. The Hon. Judge
INJUNCTION AGAINST HARASSMENT against Defendant, on However, the ladministered an Oath or Affirmation at the beginning or at any time during Nobeing personally reminded by the Defendant at the beginning of his hearing which followed Mr. overheard, mention to her client in case (and husband of attorney (seated ), that the Hon. Judge has	as filed by the Plaintiff, Hon. Judge never Mr. hearing despite the Judge he and Mr. Defendant in case attorney. (seated f Plaintiff), ed sworn-in no one. The Hon. Judge
briefly stumbled to find words (seeming befuddled) a no Oath or Affirmation has been administered to him or the Plaintiff, as required by Rule 8(E) of A Judge's Guide: Best Practices for Protective C of Protective Order Procedure. This is a direct infringement of the law and e	Orders and Rule 38(f) of the Arizona Rules
the Hon. Judge violates Mr. Freed defined by the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States of A	dom of Speech / Freedom of the Press as America , where it is stated,
Mr. had purchased a domain through and created a express his comments as his opinions and shared several links on his platforms (such as + in regard to the on-going though Mr. posted negative comments about the pro-	a website, to and other  Even  ceedings, the Judge(s), the various parties

# CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	ie: Judge's Name:						
words what you belie names, dates, times, as	ve the judge did that nd places that will help copies (not originals) o	er of the same size to t constitutes judicial m p the commission under- of relevant court docume ar records.	isconduct. Be specifi stand your concerns.	c and list all of the Additional pages may			
absolutely no reference to Mr. is protecte the Constitution of the U	to the advocacy of illegand under the Freedom of nited States of America	ase is being hal action, fighting words, c f Speech / Freedom of the because he cannot be he ng as it is truthful or based	ommercial speech and e Press as defined by the eld liable, either crimina	l obscenity. Therefore, he First Amendment of ally or civilly for anything			
3) Hon, Judge SUBPOENA on through her counsel,  because contact by Mr. mother, because refers to scared into making the s Mr. Sixth Am stated,	Mr had given testimony to stating he was uncomf and has know o Mr. as " tatement. When the Hos	the Plaintiff, had a Subpoe to appear at Mr. Hon. Judge fortable with the contact. In his entire life. M " Rather, Mr.	na issued on hearing. Mr. on is a long- Ir, was not considered quashed the So	onvinced of this was manipulated/ ubpoena, he violated			
1		и					
	on adminis at the all by the Hon. Judge Hon. Judge follo Drders and Rule 38(f) of	•	ng BEFORE any testim was administered an as a witness for Ms. equired by Rule 8(E) of tective Order Procedur	ony was given by Oath/Affirmation This recording A Judge's Guide: Best			