State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-348
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner was prejudiced against her and improperly denied a request for a name change.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Gus Aragón did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: February 27, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 27, 2019.

Person Filing:	
Address (if not protected):	
City, State, Zip Code:	
Telephone:	
Email Addres	/ton p
Lawyer's Bar Number:	- Comp
Representing Self, without a Lawyer or Attorney for	
,	ARIZONA 2018-348
	COUNTY
1	
	Case Number:
	Title: MOTION TO REQUES
$\alpha = m \alpha + \alpha \alpha$	NEW JUDGE
CONTYL.	
Name of Defendant or Respondent	
Explain what you want the Court to order. The Judge	may grant, deny, or change your request (or
"motion"). A ruling will be issued by "minute entry."	· NISO KNIMININI
	ALOO RIVOVI
A5	HNO THYE
GONE BY THE	NAME
AM ADDEALING	THE RIGHTNIC
THE STATE OF W	000
OF JUDGE COM	IN MA F
TO DENY MY N	IAME CHANGE,
TO	
COMM	CLAIMS I
DO NOT HAVE F	NOUGH FULDENCE
DON'TE MU -	ENENTITU
010 0000	COMM
T AM KEPORITIVE	
FOR BIAS PREJU	IDICE AND MISCONDUC
SEE ATTA CHED A	ND EVIDENCE SHE
15 WRONG.	

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN. MY
VAME IS
TALSO
HAVE GONE BY THE NAME

ON I HAD A COURT DATE AT OURT REQUESTING THAT I, WHO WAS AT THE TIME, COULD CHANGE MY NAME. THE JUDGE TOLD ME HE DID NOT HAVE A PROBLEM VITH MY NAME CHANGE REQUEST, HOWEVER, HE DID SAY I HAD TO E A RESIDENT OF COUNTY.

WHICH AT THE TIME I WAS NOT. HE REQUESTED I COME BACK IN ONCE I PROVE RESIDENCY. I THOUGHT HE SAID I CONTACTED SO AFTER FOR A COURT DATE. THEY SAID I WOULD HAVE TO PAY AGAIN TO FILE THE NAME CHANGE. SO I DID. TODAY ON I APPEARED BEFORE THE FIRST BEGAN DOING WAS

JUDGE THING READING OFF PAST NAMES I WENT BY AND TOLD ME TO VERBALLY ANSWER YES I DID CHANG MY NAME TO THE NAMES SHE READ OFF ON A PAPER SHE HAD,

JUDGE SHOWED PREJUDICE AND UNPROFESSIONAUSN TOWARDS VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, SHE HATERS INTEGRATED ME THE ENTIRE COURT SESSION. SHE ASKED ME QUESTIONS ABOUT NAME CHANGES BACK IN SHE DEMANDED I PROVIDE ALL COURT DO'CUMENTS PROV PROOFING EVERY SINGLE NAME I EVER CHANGED TO. STARTING IN SHE SAID SHE 13 DISMISSING MY ADDRESS PROTECTION. SHE MADE ME, AS A VICTIM OF DOMESTIC VILDENCE, FEEL WORTHLESS, ON TRAIL, LIKE IT WAS MY FAULT I AM A VICTIM. SHE ASKED ME QUESTIONS PROTAINING TO THAT PAST INCIDENT WHEN I WAS

PAINFUL TO TALK ABOUT TO SOMEONE BEING AGGRESSIVE AND PREJUDICE TOWARDS ME. WHEN I BECAME NERVOUS AND FORGOT DATES IN THE PAST, SHE MOCKED AND SAID, "

" OR "
" SHE

ASKED ME ONE OF THOSE QUESTIONS WITH AN ARROGANT SMILE. SHE CONTINUED TO ACCUSS ME OF LYING BY HER MISUNDERSTANDING ME. SHE WAS IN SUCH A PREJUDICE ATTACK MODE ON ME SHE REFUSED TO FOCUSS ON MY NAME CHANGE AND NEED FOR ADDRESS PROTECTION

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.