State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Judge:

Complainants:

ORDER

The complainants alleged a justice of the peace made improper rulings in multiple civil matters.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officer did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: March 27, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 27, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-358

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:		
words what you believe the judge did that constitu names, dates, times, and places that will help the com-	same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own tes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the mission understand your concerns. Additional pages may t court documents. Please complete one side of the paper		
Attaching Statement***			

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
Judge's Name:			
Judge's Name:			

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

My Wife, had filed litigation against after years of constant harassment that she was a part of which resulted in taking a legal hit and being arrested on counts of Harassment, regarding threats of death and great bodily injury. has kept her job and gotten away with everything because she tells Police and Her Boss that she is somehow related to my Wife, that they are sisters or the like. That is False ! They do not know one another ! daughter, plead guilty to one count of Harassment, was sentenced, did and placed on My Wife has never sued My Wife never had a civil case against it was all criminal. I, however, had a civil case against her. I filed my suit against was served with the court proceeding papers in jail, and at the same time and by Constable on ignored the summons, and did not participate in mediation and did not turn up for court last I was suing for I was not suing for with I was denied a default judgement against in court last the due to Judge being under the impression that my Wife had already sued her for the same thing, when in fact, has never filed suit against her at all. When my Wife, . sued she also was filing suit for personal damages, not business damages. She opened in court with the foundation in which had led her to court, bringing up numerous things to her but her ultimate reason for suing was that she was messaging complete strangers and telling them that my Wife My Wife brought witnesses that day, ones which were not allowed to testify on her behalf. did mention what had been doing to me, yes. But she was there to gain judgement against reasons that caused her so much loss, personally and for her to be fired from gigs they were already booked for ,and the things had been doing to children and the accusations she had

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the

My lawsuit against

due to

sender and know the content is safe.

When asked to provide witnesses that were for a seperate reason than why she was there, and not being allowed to call

terrorizing my Wife for so long and messaging random strangers.

the actual witnesses for her own case, it was dismissed by Judge

The one thing that I failed miserab	ly at explaining to Jud	ge because	e I was not given much	opportunity, was that I		
was there to prove that I also was	because of	This case is so c	This case is so complex, yet simple to understand if given half			
the chance to explain it.						
I called witness,	to the stand. He is th	ne owner of	and owns the i	name through the State		
It is HIS sole owndershi	p. My Wife does not h	nave anything to d	o with this. She is by n	o means, a part of his		
name. I, however, ir	ivest with Mr.	and gigs get se	ent to me, and my Wif	e is merely just the		
. When Mr.	tried explaining	g this to the Judge	, he was abruptly stop	ped and his testimony		
ended after just one question, and	before he could even	finish answering	the one question.			
We are actually several different p	eople with several dif	ferent jobs and ev	en business names.			
the supp	lies the actors and ch	aracters,	supplies concession	ons and some bounce		
houses but supplies		owned by				
Those facts are the ground work, t	he foundation in whic	h to explain , how	ever, I was suing for pe	ersonal reasons.		
of the I was se	eeking was solely for t	he fact that I had	to hire a private invest	tigator to get &		
exposed and ultimately	arrested. But	never turned up	o for court. The :	was my own		
personal lost wages for all of the co	ourt proceedings in th	e last years and	the rest was for the pr	ublic slander of my		
personal name,	and other things that	were said by	and publical	ly.		
Those funds do not have my Wife's	name attached. She	had her own perso	onal losses. I was not a	llowed to explain any of		
this in court.						
I do understand how this can be co	onfusing and complex,	with different bus	siness affiliates and lice	ensing. I do get that.		
However, I am a seperate person f						
do with my Wife at all, whatsoever	. She does not have n	ot even one ounce	of financial gain from	affiliation		
with me when conducting booking	s for him.					
When came to the s	tand, she fast became	a hostile witness.	I subpeonaed her for	a reason, yet on stand,		
lied out of her teeth.						
When and I were fired as	and d	perators for the	we w	ere already booked		
months prior to the event. We had	NO idea was a	part of it. It wasn'	t until AFTER I was fire	ed, that found		
out why. It was because of	He went to have a sit	down meeting wit	h the lady at the	who		
explained all the terrible things	had been saying a	bout all of us, inclu	uding When			
contacted my Wife to tell her she g	ot an email containing	g lies., I almost got	t fired from her event	with		
explained that on the s	tand.					
refused to answer as	ny of my questions, I'n	n assuming becaus	se she knew if she ansv	wered the direct		
questions I asked her, it would imp				oloyee who had spread		
lies to the and	almost got me fired.	did a VERY g	ood job at diverting th	e facts in court.		
I played a recording of a phone call	between	and and	for the	courts, which very		
clearly proved had lied under	oath . She claimed sh	e did not know ab	out a meeting betwee	en and of		
the The recording	•		1,			
claimed she didn't know abou	ut a screenshotted cor	nversation betwee	en and and	a seperate one		
between and myself, yet , it wa	as the topic of discussi	on in the recordin	ig and it was also in en	nails back and forth		
between and I had m	y own seperate corre	spondence with	and on my ow	n behalf, on her		
own behalf. Two completely differe	ent reasons.					
claims in court under oath , t	hat this was a "	" betwee	n and all of us,	yet , on the recording,		
also said that it was only a co	ntest for I had	d already been hire	ed and fired before we	e ever knew was		
involved. This was no contest, this	was absolute, complet	te slander.				
The recording paused and had a pr	oblem during court, b	ut when it started	back up again, the Jud	lge had already given it		
back to me to turn it off and	took it. It continued	until shut off, wit	h ":			
				" and she '		
The part the Judge did hear was	stating that "					
	11					

2

But yet, the Judge still only reacted to $^{\rm r}$

stated to the courts her full legal name a	is _		and	even questioned THAT.
also referred to this as a "	', indicating th	at _ has als	o told w	e are somehow related to
her. This is a very creative lie.				
was very combative with this process, on	ly admitting to	what she thoug	ht would prote	ect the
because she knew what had done to perpe	tuate harm to	me and	Nothing more	e. Nothing less.
What the courts did not hear, was	on the record	ling before it got	_	_
it ir				
behavior on stand was indicitive of a ve-	ry nervous pers	on because alth	ough we have	always just blamed
and never intended on suing the	and	won't be suing a		
response was appropriate for a with al	ot to hide and	_	,	
was not told by the Judge to answer my o			ade every sing	le one of them and then
disregarded the entire recording which proved				to address or badger
				n was over-ruled. The whol
thing was odd and even Judge himself sa		,	oo a ac circ	The state of the s
At one point, it appeared he was going to grant		orney fees for m	v Wife's lawsu	it, and make me pay them.
She never had a lawyer for my suit against her.			, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	it y and make me pay them
Again, has been at this in a complex, ver		abolical way. Sh.	e has been a c	reative liar to court and law
enforcement officials throughout this entire pro				
rants, raves, creative lies and manipulation, oth				
				his own investigative finds
against relating to ME and ME only. I was				_
this morning, to	s never able to	ger to can min to	o the stand an	d flow fie flas ffloved as of
this morning, to				
With all due respect to His Honor, I believe an in	niustice has oc	cured and not fo	r the first time	and I haliava that I am hu
law, entitled to a default judgement against		ot responding at		
the state of the s	101 11	or responding at	an comy laves	yuit.
In another case				
Starting with not allowing my Wife and I due pr	ocess and NOT	notifying us of a	any court proc	eedings against us in case #
			•	, defend ourselves, present
evidence and a judgment made against us . This				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
how he has treated us.		the or the recor	G With Junge	When it comes to
we attended a court hearing that	we had been i	notified about in	st nri	or ludge set the
- The state of the	onths later,			cuments and lying in court
		favor for approx	-	caments and tying in court
We responded immediately to the courts on				t date to prove that we
never missed a single car payment. NOT ONE. T				
month were taken directly out of our bank acco				. The payments of per et a court date and allow us
to bring the evidence in	unt for annost	yearsve ask	eu io se	st a court date and allow us
Today, we open the mail, and we have a NASTY,	/Threatening L	attar from the		Firm in
stating a Judgement had been made against us	-			
		on 'a maid aanalatan		and that they demand
payment right now IN FULLWe do NOT owe at			itiy, on-time, a	iuto drafted for almost
years AND they sold the car for over (VERY che		.	
This whole time, we have been waiting for a me				n notified us of a court date
		y in the mail from	n the lawyer.	
We have been DENIED our day in court, and sim				
This Judge has singled us out for the last years	for whatever i	eason, also allov	wing a stalker	to threaten to kill my wife,
repeatedly without any consequence, until she	rinally please g	unty ro counts	or aggravated	narassment of my Wife,

with my

and basically confronting my

was a hostile witness the second she got on stand,

Witnesses, looking my Wife in the eyes and

Wife from the stand.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.