#### State of Arizona

### COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

|--|

Judge:

Complainant:

#### **ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace engaged in improper campaign activity.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found that the judge improperly endorsed and actively participated in another judicial candidate's campaign and published campaign materials that improperly implied she already held the office of justice of the peace. While this was improper under Rules 1.2, 4.1(A)(3), 4.1(A)(5), 4.3(C), and 4.3(D), the Scope section of the Code of Judicial Conduct provides that it is not intended that every transgression will result in the imposition of discipline. The commission decided, after considering all the facts and circumstances, to dismiss the complaint pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a), but to issue a warning letter to the judge to conform her political conduct to the Code in the future.

Commission member Roger D. Barton did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: February 1, 2019

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez Hon. Louis Frank Dominguez Commission Chair

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 1, 2019.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

### CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-363

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	_ Judge's Name:
words what you believe the judge did that consti- names, dates, times, and places that will help the co-	e same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own tutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the mmission understand your concerns. Additional pages may nt court documents. Please complete one side of the paper s.
Dear Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct:	
Please find attached the Complaint, a letter dated Please note, the Exhibits, starting with will be en are transmitted	and Exhibits (in PDF format). nailed to cjc@courts.az.gov in groups of to ensure the
Sincerely.	

CONFIDENTIAL
Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
r noemx, Arizona 65007

FOF	₹ OF	FICI	E US	E O	NLY	

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's	Name:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same siz	e to file a complaint. Describe in your own
words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judici-	al misconduct. Be specific and list all of the
names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission un	nderstand your concerns. Additional pages may
be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court doc	cuments. Please complete one side of the paper
only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records	•

N/A

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, AZ 85007 cjc@courts.az.gov

Re:

#### Candidate for

Dear Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct:

I write to inform your committee of the following allegations towards in violation of Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, Canon 4 (http://www.azcourts.gov/portals/137/rules/Arizona%20Code%20of%20Judicial%20C onduct.pdf). As your Commission is aware, "A judge or candidate for judicial office SHALL NOT ENGAGE in political or campaign activity that is inconsistent with the independence, integrity, or impartiality of the judiciary." The following allegations are towards who is a " ' Please see Exhibit which will show " Statement of Organization, filed on for Justice of the Peace with the Elections Department. Exhibits will show an amended Statement of Organization from ' to " ' filed on for " with the Elections Department.

Per Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, Canon 4, Paragraph (A) (9), it is a prohibition for a judicial candidate from "[M]aking comments that might impair the fairness of pending or impending judicial proceedings. This provision does not restrict arguments or statements to the court or jury by a lawyer who is a judicial candidate, or rulings, statements, or instructions by a judge that may appropriately affect the outcome of a matter."

Allegation One: Violation of Rule 4.1 (A) (3)
Political and Campaign Activities of Judges and Judicial Candidates
in General. Publicly endorse or oppose another candidate for any public office.

Please see Exhibit which will show " Statement of Organization, filed for ") for " ' with the Elections ") Department. Exhibit through Exhibit will show an event (" cohosted by on  $\mathbf{at}$ Exhibit will show in public statement in support and endorsement of the Event will show and confirm that both committees, Committee To and Exhibit Elect and Committee to Elect Event.

## Allegation Three: Violation of Rule 4.1 (A) (5)

Political and Campaign Activities of Judges and Judicial Candidates in General. Actively take part in any political campaign other than his or her own campaign for election, reelection or retention in office.

Exhibits through Exhibit will show that actively took part in the political campaign other than her own campaign "

'for Justice of the Peace Exhibit will show an event on or about hat actively participated

## Allegation Four: Violation of Rule 4.1 (A) (9)

Political and Campaign Activities of Judges and Judicial Candidates in General. Make any statement that would reasonably be expected to affect the outcome or impair the fairness of a matter pending or impending in any court.

Exhibit will show that on the committee, " "(prior to amendment) filed a Report regarding Campaign Finance with the Elections Department that states a material issue of \$ in an exerctatement of candidates paragraph manies that would rescand by he

in an overstatement of candidates personal monies that would reasonably be expected to a reasonable person to affect the outcome or impair the fairness of a matter pending or impending in any court within or the State of Arizona.

Allegation Six: Violation of Rule 4.3 (A) and Violation of Rule 4.3 (C)

## Campaign Standards and Communications

Post, publish, broadcast, transmit, circulate, or distribute information concerning the judicial candidate or an opponent that would be deceiving or misleading to a reasonable person.

#### and

Use the title of an office not currently held by a judicial candidate in a manner that implies that the judicial candidate currently holds that office

Exhibit and Exhibit will show the marketing materials printed on or about from used as " during campaign indicate that is the Justice of the Peace. The words "for" or "elect" are omitted. Please see Exhibit Report regarding Disbursements for Operating Expenses under Schedule B1. will show circulated printing materials (as shown in Exhibit and Exhibit ) that would lead a reasonable person to be deceived or mislead that was the Justice of the Peace. Exhibit shows the logo of and the that is deceiving to a reasonable shows the business cards of person. Exhibit and the Committee To Elect where the would be deceiving and misleading to a reasonable person to believe is the

# Allegation Six: Violation of Rule 4.3 (A) Campaign Standards and Communications

Make a false or misleading statement concerning the professional, occupational, or vocational licenses held by a judicial candidate, or the candidate's employment history and descriptions of work-related titles or positions.

Exhibit and Exhibit provide some of

Experience and Past Membership experiences. Both Exhibits will show that

" is a false and misleading
statement concerning the professional and occupation currently held by
Because the position " ' is not
distinguished under " ' as other professional experiences are
listed a reasonable person would believe that
for Justice Courts which is prohibited while running for
Justice of the Peace.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.