State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-364					
Judge:					
Complainant:					

ORDER

An anonymous complainant alleged a justice of the peace committed various campaign violations.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the Commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the Commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The Commission approved sending the judge an advisory letter reminding him of his obligations under Rules 1.2 and 4.3 of the Code, and to ensure all future campaign materials comply with the Code. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Gus Aragón, Louis Frank Dominguez and George H. Foster, Jr., did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: March 29, 2019

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Diane M. Johnsen
Hon. Diane M. Johnsen
Commission Vice-Chair

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 29, 2019.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-364

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
names, dates, times, and places that will belo the com-	same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own tes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the mission understand your concerns. Additional pages may
See attached letter and documents.	

TO THE ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT -

Anonym	OUS	com	nlaint	agair	ref
CHOUNTH	ous.	COLL	Diani	auan	ıδι

is currently the

serving as

he

. While

During the course of his campaign Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct.

l believe

violated portions of Canon 4 of the

His website was/is entitled

and his

was entitled

appears to have been taken down.

Rule 4.1 Political and Campaign Activities of Judges & Judicial Candidates in General

(A) A judge or judicial candidate shall not do any of the following:

(1) Act as a leader in, or hold an office in, a political organization

campaign web-site

stated he is:

A copy of the web page is attached. (Attachment A)

public statements regarding his appear to be a violation of Rule 4.1(A)(1).

in political organizations

Rule 4.1 Political and Campaign Activities of Judges & Judicial Candidates in General

(A) A judge or judicial candidate shall not do any of the following:

(3) Publicly endorse or oppose another candidate for any public office;

(5) actively take part in any political campaign other than his or her own campaign for election, reelection or retention in office:

campaign

contained a

by .

and other posts of

A copy of the

are attached. (Attachment B)

campaign signs that were posted along the highways in the precinct included multiple signs that said "

A photo of one of the signs is attached. (Attachment C)

Although I am not sure if

is inappropriate,

signs and

nade it appear as though he was endorsing

Rule 4.1 Political and Campaign Activities of Judges & Judicial Candidates in General (A) A judge or judicial candidate shall not do any of the following:

- (9) make any statement that would reasonably be expected to affect the outcome or impair the fairness of a matter pending or impending in any court; or
- (10) in connection with cases, controversies, or issues that are likely to come before the court, make pledges, promises, or commitments that are inconsistent with the impartial performance of the adjudicative duties of judicial office.

posted numerous comments

that appeared to promise that he

would carry out the

that he would ensure that

would

not use the laws against

unfairly, and that he would

A copy of the numerous

are attached. (Attachment D)

These posts give the appearance that will rule in favor of may be prejudiced against and that he will rule according to the wishes of

that he

thereby possibly disregarding the law in a case.

Rule 4.3 Campaign Standards and Communications

During the course of any campaign for nomination or election to judicial office, a judicial candidate, by means of campaign materials, including sample ballots, advertisements in the media, electronic communications, or a speech, press release, or any other public communication, shall not knowingly or with reckless disregard do any of the following:

- (A) Post, publish, broadcast, transmit, circulate, or distribute information concerning the judicial candidate or an opponent that would be deceiving or misleading to a reasonable person;
- (F) Misrepresent the identity, qualifications, present position, or any other fact about the judicial candidate or an opponent.

campaign

contained a

that stated he had "

" and "

is attached. (Attachment E)

The

could mislead a person into believing that his experience was obtained as a when in fact the cases he

He has

A copy of the

He failed to mention that fact