State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 18-386		
Judges:		
Complainant:		

ORDER

The Complainant alleged four superior court commissioners (two now retired) violated her rights and made improper rulings in a family law matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if a judicial officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the Commission is limited to this mission.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of a judicial officer's rulings. In addition, the Commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the Complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 18, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 18, 2019.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2018-386

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the words what you believe the judge did that constitutionames, dates, times, and places that will help the commendates.	same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own tes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the nission understand your concerns. Additional pages may t court documents. Please complete one side of the paper
ARS Laws violated	
13-270220-44513-130213-130213-231013-	240213-240713-270213-270313-2409Federa
Judge followed harassment of Judge	-than
then quitting on lied and state	after requested a new judge for prejudice d was not there for request reasons of
new judge so they dismissed obtaining new judge fo	r prejudice and began spiral of taking
child.	projection begati optical of telegrap
dismissed Concilliation court recommendat	ions because of fathers domestic violence and went
with suggestions because den	
fined for after h	nad purged her continuing defamation of
as even though conciliation courts clear ordered in retaliation by had stated to mental evaluation was ordered by same therapist ag continued deception in order to kidnap child by statir	ed of any mental disorder (evaluation
mental evaluation was ordered by same therenist on	suffered from a mental disorder.
continued decention in order to kidnen child by static	allist against another.
making false and Police reports. has	ng to Dr that had been
with developing signs of	hased off the perium and defamation of
and her client and not off fact.	They took this to and without meeting statute
and her client and not off fact. of imminent danger removed child falsely	testifying that had been making false
reports therefore had an untreated mental disorder the	nerefore was going to cause irreparable harm to her
child.	
went to and attempted removal of c	hild through them and they did not substantiate
	d stated was not a harm to her child,
child with no contact ordered per and her	an and was denied parental rights to her
was going to file a special action guitting of	n as she refused to file a special action
because it would cost too much money spiraling	to retaliate against ordering so that
would not receive her child without further	mental health evaluations as now claimed
child was pecause of and his girlfriend were giving are are	The judge ignored that therapist stated
and his girlfriend were giving ar	nd that child was not mentally ill or in harms way of
continued her deception of wit	nesses and informed them that was
diagnosed therefore a harm to her child and request	ed the therapist order that if did not drop
the defamation lawsuits against her and and	the judges for taking her child that they would not to drop her cases in order to gain custody back of her
child which is against the law and they maintain remo	o drop her cases in order to gain custody back of her
and false testimonies that change and do r	not stop to this day with Judge
Judge continues harassment defamation ret	aliation prejudice and bias as she is friends with
	g her for misconduct maintaining removal of
child even though after trying f	or years being denied hearings by until she
obtained another attorney refuses to tak	e into account that gave her records that
she has never made a false police or report,	gave her mental health records from her

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the words what you believe the judge did that constitute names, dates, times, and places that will help the common that the common places that will help the common places.	same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own tes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the mission understand your concerns. Additional pages may court documents. Please complete one side of the paper
provide enough information and extending it and so child and need to	no strongly and diligently fight for telling onal or psychotic and does not have a mental
These judges denied her rights as a United live without dovernment interference to have equal p denying representation denying right to be safe as they force her and her child to be arrested and charged for his crimes.	rotections of the law denying hearings life liberty and the pursuit of happiness denying her
These judges are not holding or the other are friends and because has her	judges accountable for misconduct because they on the board.
These are federal and state crimes being committed attorneys because of the retaliation facto husband is on the board.	and no one is doing anything to stop them including rs and because is friends with and
Defamation via libel and slander, retaliation, kidnappi opression color of law abuses obstruction of justice be and causing irreparable harm to a mother and her cheareers.	y several judges covering themselves mistreating

This is against the law and no one is doing anything about it.