State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 18-402
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The Complainant alleged a pro tem municipal court judge improperly issued an order of protection.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: May 15, 2019

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 15, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2018-402

----- 'NT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name.	Judge's Name:
words what you names, dates, time be attached along	e this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the es, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper pay of the complaint for your records.
As Da	t of the Film I'm in. I on videos
to draw	Attention to "Amondment" Violations against He Videos I discussed are supported by
the doc	unertation I have. The Same decumentation
which	has been Sent to and THE
	So I Speak on Facts
HAT	does not have knowledge
	Spoke of who I have had
interaction	ns with, and that's what I discuss in on I called in the capacity of an amarter
Sournalist	s to report to reporter. I asked ever given a vehicle
The	ever given a vehicle
HAS 4	he ? Ago
to A	A Blog video to gethelp For A was the First to
I, Dia	was the First to
respond	and She and I Just made the
more fright the	istrated, and He left the State. This is order of protection is based off! which is my Freedom of Speech; 1st Amendment

COM

That Alaxer my Ex,

to get AN order of protection at

or and She would then

"Forge" documents and my Signature, and

the State of Alizana, without live

process, my "Parental Pights" were

corongly "Tarlaminiated".

COD Bless and Dostice FOR Poor Reode

* 12 Amendment, Freedom of Speech,

And what did I do wrong?

Never had contact with

It all, and I rever went near

The Structure where she works

And Since I know this Arizana Commission Does not accept my Complaints. I Filed in

Judge, "Alasing Her office," "Alasing her discretion" and also "Acting Under the Color of Federal/Stak law."

An order of Protection, based off "Heresay," and False, and "I'ED" to "Unich means she filed a False claim, and so I have filed a Suit against her as well.

COD Bless, Marry X-MAS

Film =